

EXHIBIT 1

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND VOLUNTARY INJUNCTION

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

**PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,
Debtors.¹**

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, et al.,

Defendants.

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No. 19-08289

**NINTH AMENDED ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) GRANTING
MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Upon the motion, dated September 18, 2019 (“**September 18 Motion**”), of Purdue Pharma L.P. and certain affiliated debtors, as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, “**Debtors**”), that are plaintiffs in this adversary proceeding, for an order pursuant to section 105(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (“**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (“**Bankruptcy Rules**”), to (i) enjoin the governmental defendants in this adversary proceeding (“**Governmental Defendants**”) from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or

¹ The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors’ corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

proceedings against the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case (“**Governmental Actions**”) that are included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix III, as well as the commencement or continuation of any other actions against the Debtors alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the Governmental Actions, and (ii) enjoin the Governmental Defendants and the private defendants (“**Private Defendants**”) in this adversary proceeding from the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings, included in the chart annexed hereto as Appendix IV, and the commencement or continuation of other actions alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in the actions identified in Appendix III and Appendix IV, against current or former (a) owners (including any trusts and their respective trustees and beneficiaries), (b) directors, (c) officers, (d) employees, and (e) other similar associated entities of the Debtors that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case (“**Related Parties**,” as identified in Appendix IV,² and the claims

² The Related Parties are: The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings Inc.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; BR Holdings Associates Inc.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, Inc.; Beacon Company; PRA Holdings Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; MNP Consulting Limited; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Marianna Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Raymond Sackler Trust; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1964; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees Under Trust Agreement Dated November 5, 1974; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Stuart D. Baker; Frank Peter Boer; John Stewart; Russell Gasdia; Marv Kelly; Shelli Liston; Heather Weaver; Doug Powers; Lori Fuller; Rodney Davis; Brandon Worley; Donald Leathers; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; LeAvis Sullivan; Jeffrey Ward; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Mark Waldrop; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol Debord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook; James David Haddox; Aida Maxsam; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell “Chip” Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris

against them described in this paragraph, the “**Related-Party Claims**”); and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Motion and the relief requested therein under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b); and there being due and sufficient notice of the Motion; and the Court having reviewed the Complaint, the September 18 Motion, the Debtors’ brief in support of the September 18 Motion, the declarations in support of the September 18 Motion, and other evidence and argument submitted by the Debtors in support thereof; all pleadings filed in support of the September 18 Motion; and all objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the September 18 Motion, as well as all filed letters in response to the September 18 Motion; and upon the record of and representations made at the hearing held by the Court on the September 18 Motion’s request for entry of a preliminary injunction on October 11, 2019 (the “**October 11 Hearing**”) and at the hearing held on November 6, 2019 (the “**November 6 Hearing**”); and, after due deliberation and for the reasons set forth on the record by the Court at the Hearings, good and sufficient cause appearing having entered Orders on October 11, 2019 granting the Motion in part and on October 18, 2019 and November 6, 2019 amending such Order; and such Orders having contemplated a procedure to amend the Orders further; and having entered Orders on November 20, 2019, December 9, 2019, January 2, 2020, February 17, 2020 and March 4, 2020, amending such Orders further and enjoining actions brought by Additional Plaintiffs; and upon the Court having reviewed the Debtors’ motion to extend the Preliminary Injunction for an additional 180 days filed on March 4 (“**March 4 Motion**,” together with the September 18 Motion, the “**Motions**”), the declaration in support of the March 4 Motion, the Debtors’ brief in support of the March 4 Motion, all pleadings filed in support of the March 4 Motion; and all

Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read; Andrew T. Stokes; Nathan C. Grace; Jaclyn P. Gatling; Leslie Roberson; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed; Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; and Draupadi Daley.

objections filed in opposition or partial opposition to the March 4 Motion; and upon the record made at the telephonic hearing held on March 18, 2020 (“**March 18 Hearing**,” together with the October 11 Hearing and the November 6 Hearing, the “**Hearings**”); and upon good and sufficient cause appearing to amend and extend such orders as provided therein, the Court grants the Debtors’ request to amend and extend the orders as provided in this Amended Order, which amends and supersedes the prior orders. Now, therefore, the Court finds and concludes as follows:

(a) The Defendants in this adversary proceeding are the Governmental Defendants and the Private Defendants, that, along with the Additional Plaintiffs, are listed in the “Underlying Plaintiffs” column of each of the charts annexed hereto as Appendix III and Appendix IV, with such Appendices being made a part of and incorporated in this Order. The Defendants in this adversary proceeding and the Additional Plaintiffs are all plaintiffs in judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings that seek to hold the Debtors and/or the Related Parties, as identified in Appendix IV, liable in connection with claims and/or causes of action arising out of or otherwise related to the Debtors’ prescription opioid business.

(b) The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a)-(b) and 1334(b). This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

(c) The Debtors have demonstrated that the continuation of the active litigation against them and the Related Parties, identified in Appendix III and Appendix IV, respectively, would result in irreparable harm to the Debtors and their reorganization.

(d) The representatives of the Raymond Sackler family and of the Mortimer Sackler family (collectively, the “**Sackler Families**”) agreed on the record at the October

11 Hearing to toll all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions against any member of the Sackler Families, and to treat as inoperative all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in any currently pending Related Party Claim against any member of the Sackler Families, for the duration of this preliminary injunction.

(e) Accordingly, this Court finds it appropriate to enter a preliminary injunction as provided herein pursuant to section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.

(f) The legal and factual bases set forth in the Complaint, the Motions, other supporting papers, and at the Hearings establish just cause for the relief granted herein.

(g) Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Hawaii, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Wisconsin, the Ad Hoc Group of Non-Consenting States (as listed on the October 11, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 296 of Case No. 19-23649), the ad hoc committee of government and other contingent litigation claimants and each of its members (as listed on the October 10, 2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 279 of Case No. 19-23649), and the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group and each of its members³ (as listed on the October 30,

³ The following members of the Multi-State Governmental Entities Group are not Potential Opt-Out Parties and are instead bound to the terms of this Order until October 5, 2020: (1) Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (2) Jennings H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (3) Robert J. Carter, in his official

2019 Verified Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2019 filed under Docket No. 409 of Case No. 19-23649) (collectively, the “**Potential Opt-Out Parties**”) have each consented and agreed to continue to abide by the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion For A Preliminary Injunction*, without the need to have any order entered against them.

Based on these findings, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that the Governmental Defendants, other than those who are Potential Opt-Out Parties, the Private Defendants, and the Additional Plaintiffs that have been bound by the Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Amended Orders are prohibited and enjoined from (i) the commencement or continuation of their active judicial, administrative, or other actions or proceedings against the Debtors and/or Related Parties that were or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title against the Debtors and/or the Related Parties arising from or in any way relating to the Debtors’ prescription opioid business, including the actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, as well as (ii) from commencing or continuing any other actions against the Debtors or Related Parties alleging substantially similar facts or causes of action as those alleged in actions reflected in Appendix III and Appendix IV, in each case through and including Monday, October 5, 2020. The preliminary injunction period may be extended by further order of the Court.

ORDERED, that each Potential Opt-Out Party may withdraw its consent on July 8, 2020 (the “**Opt-Out Date**”)—by filing with the Bankruptcy Court a notice (a “**Withdrawal Notice**”) in the form attached hereto as Appendix II. Each Potential Opt-Out Party filing a Withdrawal

capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, Tennessee; (4) Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, Tennessee; and (5) Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, Tennessee.

Notice must send a copy of the same to the Debtors' counsel at least two business days before such filing. If any Potential Opt-Out Party files a Withdrawal Notice on the Opt-Out Date, then, no later than three business days after the Opt-Out Date, counsel for the Debtors shall submit to the Court for immediate entry a new proposed order that, upon its entry, will terminate the voluntary compliance of and instead bind each Potential Opt-Out Party that timely filed and served a Withdrawal Notice to the same terms imposed on other parties by the this Order from the Opt-Out Date until October 5, 2020. For the avoidance of doubt, entry of this order shall not impair any rights of Potential Opt-Out Parties to appeal any subsequent order entered in connection with a Withdrawal Notice as contemplated herein.

ORDERED, that the March 4 Motion constitutes a joint motion of the Debtors and UCC to extend the stay beyond the Initial Stay Period as provided in paragraph 2 of the Amended and Restated Case Stipulation Among the Debtors, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Certain Related Parties, *In re: Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19:23649 (RDD) (Nov. 20, 2019) [ECF No. 518] (“**UCC Stipulation**”). All obligations under the UCC Stipulation, inclusive of obligations of any Covered Party as defined therein, that remain in effect during the Stay Period, as defined therein, shall remain in full force and effect so long as the Preliminary Injunction, as amended and extended, remains in effect. For the avoidance of doubt, the Initial Stay Period as defined in the UCC Stipulation shall expire on April 8, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases continue to be subject to the Voluntary Injunction annexed hereto as Appendix I through and including October 5, 2020.

ORDERED, that the Debtors need not give security in connection with this injunctive relief.

ORDERED, that this Order shall be promptly filed in the Clerk’s Office and entered into the record.

ORDERED, that the Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall prevent the Debtors from seeking a further extension of the requested injunction.

ORDERED, that if, while the preliminary injunction provided for in this Order is effective, either (i) any inactive litigation currently pending against the Debtors or Related Parties becomes active, or (ii) any new action is commenced against the Debtors or Related Parties (in either case, an “**Additional Action**”), the Debtors may promptly serve the plaintiff or plaintiffs in such Additional Action (“**Applicable Plaintiff**”) with a copy of the Complaint, the Motions, the Debtors’ memoranda of law in support of the Motions, and this Order (the “**Service Documents**”). The Debtors shall file a notice of such service on the docket promptly after service. If the Applicable Plaintiff in such Additional Action does not file and serve an objection within seven (7) days of service of the Service Documents, the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings. If the Applicable Plaintiff files and serves an objection, the Debtors shall have the right to file and serve a response to the objection within seven (7) days of service of the objection, after which the Court may determine whether such Additional Action should be enjoined pursuant to this Order without further proceedings, or either party may seek to schedule and provide notice of a hearing.

ORDERED, that all applicable statutes of limitations and similar time limits on the commencement of Additional Actions, and all deadlines (including deadlines for appeals) in

any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or action brought by an Additional Plaintiff (including as agreed on the record at the October 11 Hearing by the representatives of the Sackler Families), shall be tolled or otherwise inoperative for the duration of this preliminary injunction. This is without prejudice to any party's rights to assert that any currently pending Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or claim brought by an Additional Plaintiff is time barred, or that commencement of any Additional Action, or any other action taken by a party with respect to any Governmental Action, Related Party Claim or Additional Plaintiff after the entry of this Order would have been time barred or untimely had it been commenced or taken before the entry of this Order.

ORDERED, that nothing in this Order shall affect or abrogate the automatic stay as to the Debtors under section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code.

ORDERED, that the time for all defendants to answer the Complaint is extended to October 19, 2020, subject to further extension by agreement of the parties and/or order of the Court. All claims and defenses of the parties, including those under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7012 of the Bankruptcy Rules, are expressly preserved.

ORDERED, that the Pre-Trial Conference in this adversary proceeding, currently scheduled for April 8, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time), is adjourned to October 19, 2020 at 10:00 am (Prevailing Eastern Time) before the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, 300 Quarropas St., White Plains, New York, NY 10601.

ORDERED, that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: April 14, 2020
White Plains, New York

/s/Robert D. Drain _____
THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Appendix I

Voluntary Injunction

I. DEFINITIONS

- A. “Bankruptcy Court” or “Court” shall mean the court presiding over the chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- B. “Cancer-Related Pain Care” shall mean care that provides relief from pain caused by active cancer or ongoing cancer treatment, as distinguished from treatment provided during remission.
- C. “CDC Guideline Recommendations” shall mean the 12 enumerated Recommendations published by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the prescribing of opioid pain medication for patients 18 and older in primary care settings as part of its 2016 Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain (CDC Guidelines), as updated or amended by the CDC.
- D. “Company” shall mean the Debtors as defined in these chapter 11 proceedings *In re Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.*, Case No. 19-23649-RDD (S.D.N.Y.).
- E. “Direct Customer Data” shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company’s direct customers’ orders, including direct customer’s wholesale orders, order history, and customer files.
- F. “Downstream Customer Data” shall mean transaction information that the Company collects relating to the Company’s direct customers’ sales to downstream customers, including chargeback data tied to the Company providing certain discounts, “867 data,” and IQVIA data.
- G. “End-of-Life Care” shall mean care for persons with a terminal illness or at high risk for dying in the near future in hospice care, hospitals, long-term care settings, or at home.
- H. “Health Care Provider” shall mean any U.S.-based physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, dentist, pharmacist, podiatrist, nurse, or other person engaged in the business of providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product and any medical facility, practice, hospital, clinic, or pharmacy engaged in providing health care services and/or prescribing an Opioid Product in the United States.
- I. “Including but not limited to,” when followed by a list or examples, shall mean that list or examples are illustrative instances only and shall not be read to be restrictive.
- J. “In-Kind Support” shall mean payment or assistance in the form of goods, commodities, services, or anything else of value.
- K. “Initial Covered Sackler Persons” shall mean the Estate of Beverly Sackler, David A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Kathe Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, Theresa Sackler, any trusts of which any of the foregoing are beneficiaries, and the trustees thereof (solely in their capacities as such), each Shareholder Party and each other entity or person that directly or indirectly owns equity in, or has voting control over, any of the Debtors, and in the event of the death of an

Initial Covered Sackler Person who is a natural person, other than a natural person who is an Initial Covered Sackler Person solely in the capacity as a trustee, the estate of such person.

- L. “Lobby” and “Lobbying” shall have the same meaning as such terms have under U.S. federal law and the law governing the person or entity being lobbied.
- M. “Opioid(s)” shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain. The term “Opioids” shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances when used exclusively to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioids listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- N. “Opioid Product(s)” shall mean all natural, semi-synthetic, or synthetic chemicals that interact with opioid receptors on nerve cells in the body and brain, and that are approved by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) and listed by the DEA as Schedule II or III drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act (including but not limited to codeine, fentanyl, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, meperidine, methadone, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, tapentadol, tramadol, and buprenorphine for the treatment of pain). The term “Opioid Products(s)” shall not mean (i) methadone, buprenorphine, buprenorphine/naloxone (oral/sublingual), suboxone, and other substances to treat opioid or other substance use disorders, abuse, addiction, or overdose; (ii) raw materials and/or immediate precursors used in the manufacture or study of Opioids or Opioid Products, but only when such materials and/or immediate precursors are sold or marketed exclusively to DEA-licensed manufacturers or DEA-licensed researchers; or (iii) Opioid Products listed by the DEA as Schedule IV drugs pursuant to the federal Controlled Substances Act.
- O. “Promote,” “Promoting,” and “Promotion” shall mean the dissemination of information by the Company to a Third Party that is either likely or intended to influence prescribing practices of Health Care Providers in favor of prescribing greater amounts, quantities, doses, and/or strengths of Opioid Products.
- P. “Section” shall mean, unless the context requires otherwise, a Section of this injunction.
- Q. “Suspicious Order” shall have the same meaning as provided by the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904, and the regulations promulgated thereunder and analogous state laws and regulations
- R. “Third Party” shall mean any person or entity other than the Company or a government entity.
- S. “Treatment of Pain” shall mean the provision of therapeutic modalities to alleviate or reduce pain.

- T. “Unbranded Information” shall mean any information regarding an Opioid or Opioid Product that does not identify a specific product(s).

II. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

A. Ban on Promotion

1. The Company shall not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including by:
 - a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products to Health Care Providers or patients;
 - b. Using speakers, key opinion leaders, thought leaders, lecturers, and/or speaking events for Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - c. Sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to medical education programs;
 - d. Creating, sponsoring, operating, controlling, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support to any website, network and/or social or other media account for the Promotion of Opioids or Opioid Products;
 - e. Creating, sponsoring, distributing, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for materials Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to brochures, newsletters, pamphlets, journals, books, and guides;
 - f. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements;
 - g. Engaging in Internet search engine optimization or other techniques designed to Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by improving rankings or making content appear among the top results in an Internet search or otherwise be more visible or more accessible to the public on the Internet; and
 - h. Engaging in Internet marketing techniques that Promote Opioids or Opioid Products by identifying or generating sales leads, including through pop up ads or information obtained from web forms completed by prospective patients or consumers.
2. Notwithstanding Sections II.A.1 and II.C, the Company may:
 - a. Maintain corporate websites;

- b. Maintain a website for any Opioid Product that contains principally the following content: the FDA-approved package insert, dosage strengths, dosage forms, packaging configurations, and medication guides; a statement directing patients or caregivers to speak with a licensed Health Care Provider; Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) materials; contact information to report an adverse event or product complaint; and/or information regarding savings programs, savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products.
- c. Provide information or support the provision of information, as expressly required by (i) law, (ii) settlement agreement, (iii) court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, or (iv) any state or federal government agency, including providing all information necessary in order for the Company to comply with its regulatory obligations pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and/or (v) provide information about legal proceedings involving the Company;
- d. Engage Health Care Providers or other Third Parties to assist the Company in responding to, preparing for, and participating in, any initiatives, advisory committees, working groups, action plans, boards, meetings and/or hearings by any state or federal government or state or federal agencies or regulators, including the Food and Drug Administration.
- e. Provide the following by mail, electronic mail, on or through the Company's corporate or product websites or through other electronic or digital methods: FDA-approved package insert, medication guide, approved labeling for Opioid Products, Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy materials, or other prescribing information or guidelines for Opioid Products that are published by a state or federal government agency with jurisdiction;
- f. Provide scientific and/or medical information in response to an unsolicited request by a Health Care Provider concerning Opioid Products by providing truthful, balanced, non-misleading, non-promotional scientific or medical information that is responsive to the specific request. Such responses should be handled by medical or scientific personnel at the Company who are independent from the sales or marketing departments;
- g. Provide a response to any unsolicited question or request from a patient or caregiver by (i) directing the patient or caregiver to the FDA-approved labeling and reviewing the prescribing information with the patient as relevant to their inquiry, and, to the extent the question cannot be answered solely by reference to a specific provision of the FDA-approved labeling, providing a response that is truthful, balanced, non-misleading and fully consistent with the FDA-approved labeling, if applicable;

- (ii) recommending that the patient or caregiver speak with a licensed Health Care Provider without naming any specific provider or healthcare institution; (iii) directing the patient or caregiver to speak with their insurance carrier regarding coverage of an Opioid Product; and/or (iv) directing the patient or caregiver to information concerning savings programs, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs for the Company's Opioid Products;
 - h. Provide information to a payor, formulary committee, distributor, or other similar entity with knowledge and expertise in the area of health care economics concerning the cost or availability of a Company Opioid Product, including the costs compared to the cost of an Opioid Product manufactured or distributed by another company. Such information may include information about the stocking of the Opioid Product; product attributes of the Opioid Product as described in the FDA-approved labeling; tier status; applicable prescribing guidelines that are consistent with the FDA-approved labeling; step-edits for Opioid Products; restrictions; and/or prior authorization status concerning an Opioid Product;
 - i. Sponsor or provide financial support or In-Kind Support for an accredited or approved continuing medical education program required by either an FDA-approved Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy program, other federal or state law or regulation, or settlement, through an independent Third Party, which shall be responsible for determining the program's content without the participation of Company;
 - j. Provide Unbranded Information in connection with managing pain in End-of-Life Care and/or Cancer-Related Pain Care relating to: the use of Opioids for the Treatment of Pain, as long as the Unbranded Information identifies Company as the source of the information; and
 - k. Provide information about, discuss, or comment on, issues regarding mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including (i) abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
3. The Company shall not engage in the following specific Promotional activity relating to any products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prohibits the Company's provision or dissemination of information or activities relating to: (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose:

- a. Employing or contracting with sales representatives or other persons to Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects to Health Care Providers or patients;
 - b. Creating, sponsoring, or otherwise providing financial support or In-Kind Support for advertisements that Promote products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, including but not limited to internet advertisements or similar content, and providing hyperlinks or otherwise directing internet traffic to advertisements; and
 - c. Engaging in any other Promotion of products that are indicated for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects in a manner that encourages the utilization of Opioids or Opioid Products or normalizes the use of Opioids or Opioid Products for chronic pain.
4. Notwithstanding Section II.A.3 directly above, the Company may engage in other marketing activities for products that are indicated or used for the treatment of Opioid-induced side effects, so long as such activities do not Promote Opioids or Opioid Products. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Sections II.A.3 or 4 shall limit or otherwise restrict the ability of the Company to Promote products for occasional constipation or restrict the Company from Promoting (i) products relating to the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) products relating to the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
5. Treatment of Pain
 - a. The Company shall not engage in Promotion of the Treatment of Pain in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
 - b. The Company shall not Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in a manner that encourages the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
 - c. The Company shall not knowingly use Third Parties to engage in the Promotion of the Treatment of Pain or Promote the concept that pain is undertreated in manners that encourage the use of Opioids or Opioid Products.
6. To the extent that the Company engages in conduct permitted by Section II.A.2 above, the Company shall do so in a manner that is:
 - a. Consistent with the CDC Guidelines Recommendations, as applicable; and
 - b. Truthful, not misleading, accurate, and not deceptive.

7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company in any way whatsoever from taking legal or factual positions in litigation, the bankruptcy proceedings, investigations, regulatory actions and initiatives, or other legal or administrative proceedings, or exercising its right to legally challenge the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation, rule, or regulation, or in any way whatsoever prohibit or limit the Company's right to make public statements or respond to media reports or inquires relating to any legal, administrative, regulatory, or legislative proceedings.

B. No Financial Reward or Discipline Based on Volume of Opioid Sales

1. The Company shall not provide financial incentives to its sales and marketing employees, or take disciplinary actions against its sales and marketing employees, that are directly based on, or tied to, sales volume or sales quotas for Opioid Products, unless otherwise permitted by the Bankruptcy Court.
2. The Company shall not offer or pay any remuneration directly or through a Third Party, to or from any person in return for the prescribing, sale, use or distribution of Opioid Product. For the avoidance of doubt, this shall not prohibit the provision of rebates and/or chargebacks.

C. Ban on Funding/Grants to Third Parties to Promote Opioids

1. The Company shall not provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any Third Party for purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from directly or indirectly supporting Third Parties as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
2. The Company shall not operate, control, create, sponsor, or provide financial support or In-Kind Support to any medical society or patient advocacy group for the purpose of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from supporting any medical society or patient advocacy group as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.
3. For the purposes of Promoting Opioids or Opioid Products, the Company shall not provide links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distribute materials created by a Third Party relating to any Opioids or Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this Section prevents the Company from providing links to any Third Party website or materials or otherwise distributing materials created by a Third Parties that the Company supports as required by any Judgment, court order, including order of the Bankruptcy Court, settlement, or federal or state law or regulation.

4. The Company shall not knowingly use a Third Party, including Health Care Providers, to engage in any activity that the Company itself would be prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the injunction.
5. No director, officer, or management-level employee of the Company may concurrently serve as a director, board member, employee, agent, or officer of any entity that engages in Promotion relating to Opioids, Opioid Products, the Opioid-related Treatment of Pain, or products indicated to treat Opioid-related side effects.
6. The Company shall not advocate for the appointment of persons to the board, or hiring persons to the staff, of any entity that principally engages in the Promotion of Opioids and Opioid Products. For avoidance of doubt, nothing in this paragraph shall prohibit the Company from fully and accurately responding to unsolicited requests or inquiries about a person's fitness to serve as an employee or Board member at any such entity.
7. For the avoidance of doubt, nothing in Section II.C or this injunction shall be construed or used to prohibit the Company from providing financial or In-Kind Support to, or disseminating information about, Third Parties, including medical societies and patient advocate groups, who are principally involved in issues relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

D. Lobbying Restrictions

1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby for the enactment of any federal, state, or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that:
 - a. Encourages or requires Health Care Providers to prescribe Opioids or sanctions Health Care Providers for failing to prescribe Opioids or failing to treat pain with Opioids;
 - b. Would have the effect of limiting access to any non-Opioid alternative pain treatments; or
 - c. Pertains to the classification of any Opioid or Opioid Product as a scheduled drug under the Controlled Substances Act.
2. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation that supports:

- a. The use of non-pharmacologic therapy and/or non-Opioid pharmacologic therapy to treat chronic pain over or instead of Opioid therapy, including but not limited to Third Party payment or reimbursement for such therapies;
 - b. The use and/or prescription of immediate release Opioids instead of extended release Opioids when Opioid therapy is initiated, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescriptions.
 - c. The prescribing of the lowest effective dose of an Opioid, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such prescription;
 - d. The limitation of initial prescriptions of Opioids to treat acute pain;
 - e. The prescribing and other means of distribution of naloxone to minimize the risk of overdose, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for naloxone.
 - f. The use of urine testing before starting Opioid therapy and annual urine testing when Opioids are prescribed, including but not limited to Third Party reimbursement or payment for such testing;
 - g. Evidence-based treatment (such as using medication-assisted treatment with buprenorphine or methadone in combination with behavioral therapies) for Opioid Use Disorder, including but not limited to third party reimbursement or payment for such treatment; or
 - h. The implementation or use of Opioid drug disposal systems that have proven efficacy for the Company's Opioid Products.
3. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Lobby against the enactment of any federal, state or local legislation or promulgation of any rule or regulation limiting the operation or use of PDMPs, including, but not limited to, provisions requiring Health Care Providers to review PDMPs when Opioid therapy is initiated and with every prescription thereafter.
 4. Nothing in Section II.D or this Injunction, however, limits the Company from:
 - a. Challenging the enforcement of, or suing to stop the enactment of, or for declaratory or injunctive relief with respect to any legislation, rules, or regulations, including legislation, rules, or regulations relating to any issues referred to in Section II.D.1;
 - b. Communications made by the Company in response to a statute, rule, regulation, or order requiring such communication;

- c. Communications by a representative of the Company appearing before a federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee as result of a mandatory order, subpoena commanding that person to testify or an unsolicited request from an elected or appointed official, federal or state legislative or administrative body, committee, or subcommittee.
 - d. Responding to an unsolicited request for the input on the passage of legislation or the promulgation of any rule or regulation.
 1. Communications by the Company, including to elected or appointed officials, federal or state legislative or administrative bodies, committees, or subcommittees regarding (i) mechanisms for preventing opioid abuse and misuse, including abuse deterrent formulations and the use of blister packaging for opioid medications, (ii) the prevention, education, and treatment of opioid use disorders or opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and/or (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.
5. The Company shall require all of its officers, employees and representatives engaged in Lobbying to certify in writing to them that they are aware of and will fully comply with the provisions of this injunction with respect to Lobbying.

E. Ban on High Dose Opioids

1. The Company shall abide by any decision by the FDA on the pending Citizens Petition dated September 1, 2017 (docket number FDA-2017-P-5396) requesting a ban on specific high doses of prescription oral and transmucosal Opioids that, when taken as directed, exceed 90 morphine milligram equivalents per day.

F. Ban on Prescription Savings Programs

1. The Company shall not directly, or by employing or controlling a Third Party, Promote savings card, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs to Health Care Providers for any Opioid Product. Nothing in this provision shall prohibit the Company from providing savings cards, vouchers, coupons, or rebate programs, including electronic point-of-dispense programs: (i) in response to requests from Health Care Providers, patients, or other caregivers or (ii) on its website or product-specific websites.
2. The Company shall not directly or through a Third Party provide financial support to any Third Party to avoid the prohibited conduct in Section II.F.1 above.

G. Self-Monitoring and Reporting of Direct and Downstream Customers.

1. The Company shall operate an effective monitoring and reporting system that shall include processes and procedures that:

- a. Reasonably analyze all collected Direct Customer Data to identify a Suspicious Order of a Company Opioid Product by a direct customer;
 - b. Reasonably utilize available Downstream Customer Data to identify whether a downstream customer poses a material risk of diversion of a Company Opioid Product;
 - c. Analyze all information that the Company receives that indicates an unreasonable risk of diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product or an unreasonable potential for diversion activity of a Company Opioid Product, by a direct customer or a downstream customer, including reports by employees and customers of the Company, Health Care Providers, law enforcement, state, tribal, or federal agencies, or the media; and
 - d. Unless otherwise required by law, upon a relevant state's request, report to the relevant state agency any direct customer or downstream customer in each state that the Company has identified as part of the monitoring required by (a)-(c), above, and any Company customer relationship in each state that was terminated by the Company because of an unreasonable risk of diversion or unreasonable risk for potential for diversion.
2. Upon request, the Company shall promptly provide reasonable assistance to law enforcement investigations of potential diversion and/or suspicious circumstances involving the Company's Opioid Products subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
 3. If one or more of the nation's three largest pharmaceutical distributors establishes a system to aggregate data concerning transactions of Opioid Products and/or concerning reports of Suspicious Orders of Opioid Products, and the system is designed to use information provided by manufacturers of Opioid Products, the Company shall provide information to such system to the extent reasonably available and feasible, subject to, and without waiving, any applicable privilege objections.
 4. The Company agrees that it will refrain from acting as a distributor of Opioid Products by providing an Opioid Product directly to a retail pharmacy or Health Care Provider or otherwise engaging in activity that requires it to be registered as a distributor under the Controlled Substances Act unless otherwise required by local, state, or federal law. Nothing in this provision, however, prevents the Company from acting as a distributor of medications relating to (i) the treatment of opioid use disorders; (ii) the treatment of opioid abuse, addiction, or overdose, including medication-assisted treatment for opioid addiction; and (iii) rescue medications for opioid overdose.

H. Appointment and Responsibilities of Monitor.

1. The Company shall retain a Monitor. On February 21, 2020, the Debtors retained Thomas J. Vilsack to serve as Monitor.
2. The Monitor shall perform its duties according to the terms of this injunction and shall be vested with all rights and powers reasonably necessary to carry out such powers, duties, authority, and responsibilities enumerated herein.
3. The Monitor shall work with all diligence to confirm and oversee compliance with this injunction, and shall provide reports to the Company's Board of Directors and the Bankruptcy Court as outlined below.
4. The Monitor shall:
 - a. subject to any legally recognized privilege and as necessary or to perform their duties hereunder, have full and complete access to the Company's personnel, books, records, and facilities, and to any other relevant information, as the Monitor may request. The Company shall develop such information as the Monitor may request and shall fully, completely and promptly cooperate with the Monitor. The Monitor may raise with the Court any issues relating to any failure of or delay in such cooperation for an expedited resolution by the Court;
 - b. serve, without bond or other security, at the cost and expense of the Company, with the Monitor's fees subject to final approval by the Court. The Monitor shall have the authority to employ, upon Court approval, at the cost and expense of the Debtors' estates, such consultants, accountants, attorneys, and other representatives and assistants as are necessary to carry out the Monitor's and responsibilities. The Monitor shall serve throughout the term of this injunction and submission of a final report;
 - c. have no obligation, responsibility or liability for the operations of the Company;
 - d. file a report no less than every 90 days regarding compliance by the Company with the terms of this injunction; provided that elements of any such report may be filed under seal or subject to such other confidentiality restrictions contained in the Protective Order. The Court may, in response to such reports, provide further direction to the Monitor as it deems appropriate;
 - e. sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter, and any confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties, and each of the Monitor's consultants, accountants, attorneys and other representatives and assistants shall also sign onto the Protective Order entered by the Court, and any

confidentiality agreement consistent with the Protective Order as deemed necessary by the parties; *provided, however*, that nothing shall restrict the Monitor from providing any information to the Court and the parties consistent with the terms of the Protective Order; and

- f. promptly seek an order requiring compliance or such other remedies as may be appropriate under the circumstances should the Company not comply with this injunction.

5. **Disputes Regarding Compliance**

- a. If an Attorney General should have a reasonable basis to believe the Company is not in compliance with the terms of this injunction, the Attorney General shall notify the Company, via the Company's General Counsel, in writing of the specific objection, including identifying the provisions of this injunction that the practice appears to violate, and give the Company thirty (30) days to respond to the notification and cure the conduct at issue, if necessary.
- b. The Attorney General shall provide notification to the Monitor at the same time as notification is provided to the Company. To the extent that the Company fails to cure the alleged conduct within the thirty (30) day period, the Monitor shall have ten (10) days to determine the appropriate action and response. After that ten (10) day period and unless otherwise ordered by the Monitor or Bankruptcy Court, any Attorney General may petition the Bankruptcy Court to enforce the terms of this injunction and/or to obtain any remedy as a result of alleged non-compliance with the Company.

I. Initial Covered Sackler Persons

- c. The Initial Covered Sackler Persons shall not actively engage in the opioid business in the United States (other than by virtue of their ownership of beneficial interests in the Company), and shall not take any action that would interfere with the Company's compliance with its obligations under this injunction.

Appendix II

Form of Withdrawal Notice

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re:

PURDUE PHARMA L.P., et al.,

Debtors.⁴

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-23649 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL FROM THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

By this notice of withdrawal (this “**Withdrawal Notice**”), [NAME OF PARTY] hereby provides notice of its withdrawal from voluntary compliance with the terms of the *Eighth Amended Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) Granting Motion for a Preliminary Injunction* [Docket No. ●] (the “**Preliminary Injunction Order**”), effective upon entry of the amended order (the “**Amended Order**”) involuntarily binding [NAME OF PARTY] to the terms of such Amended Order until and including October 5, 2020.

[NAME OF PARTY]

By: _____

⁴ The Debtors in these cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s registration number in the applicable jurisdiction, are as follows: Purdue Pharma L.P. (7484), Purdue Pharma Inc. (7486), Purdue Transdermal Technologies L.P. (1868), Purdue Pharma Manufacturing L.P. (3821), Purdue Pharmaceuticals L.P. (0034), Imbrium Therapeutics L.P. (8810), Adlon Therapeutics L.P. (6745), Greenfield BioVentures L.P. (6150), Seven Seas Hill Corp. (4591), Ophir Green Corp. (4594), Purdue Pharma of Puerto Rico (3925), Avrio Health L.P. (4140), Purdue Pharmaceutical Products L.P. (3902), Purdue Neuroscience Company (4712), Nayatt Cove Lifescience Inc. (7805), Button Land L.P. (7502), Rhodes Associates L.P. (N/A), Paul Land Inc. (7425), Quidnick Land L.P. (7584), Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P. (6166), Rhodes Technologies (7143), UDF LP (0495), SVC Pharma LP (5717) and SVC Pharma Inc. (4014). The Debtors’ corporate headquarters is located at One Stamford Forum, 201 Tresser Boulevard, Stamford, CT 06901.

Appendix III

Chart of Governmental Actions

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
<i>State Actions</i>					
1.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C2019-02471
4.	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 19-0151
5.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 18-2018
6.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19-01919-V19045
7.	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Phil Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2019-01919-V33300
8.	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. No. 19-07 HHD-CV-19-6105325-S
9.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019-01919-V003680 B
10.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware Case No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (2019-01919)
11.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Circuit Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
12.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
13.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV-19-01919

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
25.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814
26.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
27.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV 2017-949
28.	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp., et al.	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-096755-B
29.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-0117-CV-00402
30.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery Div., Essex County Essex-245-17
31.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Santa Fe Dist. Dist. CV-201702541
32.	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 4800026/2018
33.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 17-000006051
34.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 0822018-CV-01300
35.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Ross Cnty. 19-000261

1
 10/31/2020 11:50:58 AM
 Exhibit
 Page 31 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
47.	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
48.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
49.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrissey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrissey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-002
50.	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CVX000009
51.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 19-0016
Tribal (MDL)					
52.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N. Ohio 179-11p-45660 MS Case No. 17-md-2804
53.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N. Ohio 178-11p-45749 MS Case No. 17-md-2804
54.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N. Ohio 178-11p-45459 MS Case No. 17-md-2804
Tribal (State Court)					
55.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Caddo Cnty. Case No. 19-69
Local Government (State Court)					
56.	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S8015cv201900591
57.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
58.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. P1300cv201900393
59.	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
60.	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
61.	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
62.	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Super. Ct. Pinal Cnty. S1100CV201901448
63.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; City of Little Rock; City of Fort Smith; City of Springdale; City of Jonesboro; City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton; County of Boone; County of Bradley; County of Calhoun; County of Carroll; County of Chicot; County of Clark;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Crittenden Cnty. Case No. 18-268 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 34 of 275

15028599
 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 34 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			County of Clay; County of Cleburne; County of Cleveland; County of Columbia; County of Conway; County of Craighead; County of Crawford; County of Cross; County of Dallas; County of Desha; County of Faulkner; County of Franklin; County of Fulton; County of Garland; County of Grant; County of Greene; County of Hempstead; County of Hot Spring; County of Howard; County of Independence; County of IZard; County of Jackson; County of Johnson; County of Lafayette; County of Lawrence; County of Lee; County of Lincoln; County of Little River; County of Logan; County of Lonoke; County of Madison; County of Miller; County of Mississippi; County of Monroe; County of Montgomery; County of Ouachita; County of Perry; County of Phillips;		<p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);"> 19-02889-9 et al v DODD et al Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 35 of 275 </p>

19-02889-9 et al v DODD et al
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction
 Pg 35 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			County of Pike; County of Poinsett; County of Polk; County of Pope; County of Prairie; County of Randolph; County of St. Francis; County of Saline; County of Scott; County of Searcy; County of Sebastian; County of Sevier; County of Sharp; County of Stone; County of Union; County of Van Buren; County of Washington; County of White; County of Woodruff; County of Yell		19-02289-9-01 19-02289-9-01 Preliminary Injunction
64.	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	El Monte County Super. Ct. 19-02289-9-01 V10532

19-02289-9-01 Filed 10/20/20 Entered 10/20/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 19-02289-9-01 Filed 10/20/20 Entered 10/20/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction Pg 36 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
65.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802 19-022899-9-6-01-01 Preliminary Injunction 19-022899-9-6-01-01
66.	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BT-19-100861 19-022899-9-6-01-01
67.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharam L.P., et al.	Co. Super Ct. 30-2019-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Case version: 14-725287) 19-022899-9-6-01-01 Preliminary Injunction Pg 37 of 275 19-022899-9-6-01-01

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
68.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, The City of Danbury, The City of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
69.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
70.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Borough of Naugatuck; The City of Bridgeport; The City of Bristol; The City of Milford; The City of Shelton; The City of Torrington; The City of West Haven; The Town of Beacon Falls; The Town of East Hartford; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Newtown; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Oxford; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Southington; The Town of Thomaston; The Town of Tolland' The Town of Woodbury	The City of Bridgeport, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S
71.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New Haven	The City of New Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
72.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of New London	The City of New London v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
73.	Municipality	Connecticut	The City of Waterbury	The City of Waterbury v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6088121-S

150922899eddd D00d 73 75 Filed 04/02/20 10:50:58 AM Eastern Standard Time
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Appointment of Receiver
 Pg 38 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
74.	Municipality	Connecticut	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; The Town of Wolcott	The Town of Berlin; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., The Town of Middlebury; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Stratford; and The Town of Wolcott; et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
75.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
76.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-003728 Preliminary Injunction
77.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-008147
78.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Ct. Madison Cty. 2019-L-000587 Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-010351
79.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-012659
80.	Municipality	Illinois	The City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-012640
81.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Boone Cty. 2019-L-000007 Ct. Cook Cty. 2019-L-004539

19-02289-9
 Filed 10/20/20
 Preliminary Injunction
 Page 39 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
82.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
83.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
84.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2018-L-013180
85.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655
86.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
87.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
88.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
89.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002943

19-03289-0000
 Preliminary Injunction
 10/27/2017 10:50:08 AM
 Pg 40 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
90.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
91.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741
92.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2018-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008722
93.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
94.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
95.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948
96.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2018-L-0000108
97.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689

19-0289-0000
 Pretrial Order
 Case No. 18-01575-IL
 Injunction
 Filed 04/27/19
 Entered 04/27/19 10:08:58
 Page 45 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
98.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
99.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Bedford Park	The Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008819
100.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009526
101.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Evergreen Park	The Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012652
102.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009848
103.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Lyons	The Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008746
104.	Municipality	Illinois	The Village of Summit	The Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008803
105.	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. CV-18-000021
106.	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City CV-18-00515
107.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 18CV02860B
108.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18CV00054
109.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18CV00074
110.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18CV00033
111.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Essex Cnty. 187CV01773
112.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Haverhill	City of Haverhill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Essex Cnty. 18CV01762A

Filed in Cook County Illinois
 10/22/2019 10:50:58 AM
 Document ID: 2019-0810
 Page 12 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
113.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Salem	City of Salem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01767A
114.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Worcester	City of Worcester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. No. 1984CV00543
115.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1582
116.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01769D
117.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-600
118.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1987CV00400
119.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18-59
120.	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-318
121.	Municipality	Missouri	Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Jefferson County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	2 nd Judicial Cir. Ct., St. Louis City 18-318 CC00203
122.	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Ct. Polk Cnty. 18-115 CC11660

Filed Pursuant to Protective Order in Case No. 18-318
 Injunction and/or Injunction
 Pg 43 of 275
 11/15/2018 3:58 PM
 Main Exhibit

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
123.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11
124.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
125.	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800699-B Dept. 11
126.	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV19-01895
127.	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Superior Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L-001167-19
128.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, NJ	County of Ocean, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Superior Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. OCL-0014740-19
129.	Municipality	New York	The City of Albany	The City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Superior Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 480054/2019
130.	Municipality	New York	City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village, NY; Village of East Rockaway, NY; City of Elizabeth, NJ; Village of Farmingdale, NY;	City of Alma, GA, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Superior Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 480054/2019

1408228899901015102
 FEDERAL-03/20/2019-04/27/2019
 Injunction and/or Abolition of Injunction Pg 44 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			Village of Floral Park, NY; Village of Garden City, NY; Village of Greenport, NY; Town of Haverstraw, NY; Town of Hempstead, NY; Town of Huntington, NY; Village of Island Park, NY; Village of Islandia, NY; Town of Islip, NY; Village of Lake Grove, NY; Village of Lawrence, NY; Village of Lindenhurst, NY; Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY; City of Long Beach, NY; Village of Lynbrook, NY; Village of Massapequa Park, NY; Village of Mill Neck, NY; Village of Millerton, NY; Village of New Hyde Park, NY; Village of Nissequoge, NY; Town of North Hempstead, NY; Village of Northport, NY; Village of Old Westbury, NY; Town of Orangetown, NY; Town of Oyster Bay, NY; Borough of Paramus, NJ; Village of Patchogue, NY; Pierce County, GA; City of Pooler, GA; Village of Poquott, NY; Village of Port Washington North, NY; City of Richmond Hill, GA; Town of Riverhead, NY; Village of Saltaire, NY; Town of Smithtown, NY; Town of Southampton, NY; Town of Southold, NY;		19-02289-9 et al v DDD 19 75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 10/14/20 15:08:58 in Eastern District of NY Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pg 45 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			Village of Stewart Manor, NY; Village of Suffern, NY; Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY		
131.	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 81-539-2019
132.	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400-02/2018
133.	Municipality	New York	The City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-175-2019
134.	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400-06/2018
135.	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-03/2019
136.	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-05/2019
137.	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-06/2019
138.	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-02/2019
139.	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-02/2017
140.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-07/2019
141.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-03/2019
142.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty. K-2018-57
143.	Municipality	New York	The County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400-01/2019

19-02289-9 of 108
Produced Pursuant to Protective Order in Case No. 19-cv-00017-JEM
Case No. 19-cv-00017-JEM
Page 108 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
144.	Municipality	New York	The County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400003/2018
145.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400015/2018
146.	Municipality	New York	The County of Cortland	The County of Cortland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400019/2018
147.	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400025/2017
148.	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400028/2017
149.	Municipality	New York	The County of Essex	The County of Essex v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400029/2019
150.	Municipality	New York	The County of Franklin	The County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400032/2018
151.	Municipality	New York	The County of Fulton	The County of Fulton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400038/2018
152.	Municipality	New York	The County of Genesee	The County of Genesee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400041/2018
153.	Municipality	New York	The County of Greene	The County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400048/2018
154.	Municipality	New York	The County of Hamilton	The County of Hamilton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400051/2018
155.	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400053/2019
156.	Municipality	New York	The County of Lewis	The County of Lewis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400057/2019
157.	Municipality	New York	The County of Livingston	The County of Livingston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400063/2018
158.	Municipality	New York	The County of Madison	The County of Madison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400068/2019
159.	Municipality	New York	The County of Monroe	The County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400067/2018
160.	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	County of Montgomery v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400069/2019
161.	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400078/2017

Case No. 19-cv-00001-UNA Document 1-1 Filed 01/15/19 Page 17 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
162.	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017
163.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2019
164.	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
165.	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
166.	Municipality	New York	The County of Otsego	The County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
167.	Municipality	New York	The County of Putnam	The County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
168.	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2017
169.	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2019
170.	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
171.	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017
172.	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017
173.	Municipality	New York	The County of Schuyler	The County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2018
174.	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017
175.	Municipality	New York	The County of Steuben	The County of Steuben v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400004/2018
176.	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001/2017
177.	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2017
178.	Municipality	New York	The County of Tioga	The County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2019
179.	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400001/2018

Case 1:20-cv-00115-SPB Document 1-1 Filed 09/01/20 Page 18 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
180.	Municipality	New York	The County of Ulster	The County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2019
181.	Municipality	New York	The County of Warren	The County of Warren v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019
182.	Municipality	New York	The County of Washington	The County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019
183.	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2018
184.	Municipality	New York	The County of Wyoming	The County of Wyoming v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400003/2018
185.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Amherst	The Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803817-2018
186.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Cheektowaga	The Town of Cheektowaga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803811-2018
187.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Lancaster	The Town of Lancaster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803819-2018
188.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Tonawanda	The Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803813-2018
189.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2018-04549
190.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2018-03838
191.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. of Medina Cnty. 180927-0838
192.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. C. Cleveland Cnty. Case No. 19-592
193.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. C. Greer Cnty. Case No. 19-12

Case No. 19-592
 Filed 08/14/2020
 Entered 08/14/2020 15:08:58
 Page 49 of 275
 Exhibit

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
194.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36
195.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
196.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
197.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
198.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Bedford Cnty. 18-0120
199.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Philadelphia Cnty. Jan. Term 2018 No. 01963
200.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Clinton Cnty. 18-0108
201.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Ct. Philadelphia Jan. Term 2018, No. 002718
202.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Ct. Allegheny Cnty. 18-0153
203.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Delaware Cnty. CJ-2017-008095 Rt. Ct. Com. Pl. July Term 2018, No. 05594
204.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ct. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-0716

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
205.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
206.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 1283-0019
207.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. 20-002026
208.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 11-00132
209.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 20-00639
210.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County, PA	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cnty. 20-00570-CV
211.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-00155
212.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 18-002017
213.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 20-00559

11-09-2023 9:11 AM
 Preliminary Hearing and Disposition on 11/09/23 at 9:11 AM
 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
214.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
215.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131
216.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
217.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-D-2018
218.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-0484-CD
219.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-D-0000
220.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland Cnty. 2018-02147
221.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716-CV
222.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. No. 17-008095
223.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 18-000118
224.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Fayette Cnty. 2018-0676
225.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Franklin Cnty. 2018-0445
226.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 718-000117
227.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty. 18-000156
228.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Leaver Cnty 18-000217
229.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Mercer Cnty. 2018-0596
230.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Northampton Cnty. 2018-01557

10025099.pdf
 Filed 08/27/20
 Entered 08/27/20 15:08:58
 Eastern District of Pennsylvania
 Page 52 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
231.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pike Cnty. No. 602-2018
232.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty. S-1241-18
233.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Monroe Cnty. 3972-CV-18
234.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Tioga Cnty. 563-CV-2018
235.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Washington Cnty. 2017-0268
236.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty 2017-0975
237.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-03372
238.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Montgomery Cnty. 2017-02178
239.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 1200-03466
240.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2017-03043
241.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2017-04956
242.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2010-CP-10-04294
243.	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2010-CP-10-03978
244.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Abbeville Cnty. 2010-CP-01-00154
245.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Aiken Cnty. 2010-CP-02-01086
246.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allendale Cnty. 2010-CP-03-00125
247.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Anderson Cnty. 2010-CP-04-01108

1-09-2025 9:58:58 AM
 C:\Users\jdoyle\Documents\2025\1-09-2025 9:58:58 AM
 Exhibit 153 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
248.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bamberg Cnty. 2018-CP-05-00189
249.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Barnwell Cnty. 2018-CP-06-00329
250.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaufort Cnty. 2018-CP-07-01245
251.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Calhoun Cnty. 2018-CP-09-00065
252.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-11-00503
253.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty. 2018-CP-13-00410
254.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2018-CP-14-00236
255.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Colleton Cnty. 2018-CP-15-00438
256.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Dillon Cnty. 2018-CP-17-00213
257.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dorchester Cnty. 2018-CP-18-01122
258.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Edgefield Cnty. 2018-CP-19-00120
259.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Fairfield Cnty. 2018-CP-20-00272
260.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Florence Cnty. 2018-CP-21-01213
261.	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greenville Cnty. 2018-CP-23-01294
262.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-24-00775
263.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Hampton Cnty. 2018-CP-25-00258
264.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Horry Cnty. 2018-CP-26-02684

10/22/2021 10:50:58 AM in Exhibit
 Document ID: 15791
 Page 54 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
265.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Jasper Cnty. 2018-CP-27-00332
266.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Kershaw Cnty. 2018-CP-28-00553
267.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Lancaster Cnty. 2019-CP-29-00540
268.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	Coun-02ty of Laurens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Laurens Cnty. 2018-CP-30-00606
269.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Lee Cnty. 2018-CP-31-00207
270.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lexington Cnty. 2018-CP-32-02207
271.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Marion Cnty. 2019-CP-33-00299
272.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. McCormick Cnty. 2019-CP-35-00031
273.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Oconee Cnty. 2019-CP-37-00458
274.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty. 2019-CP-38-00841
275.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pickens Cnty. 2019-CP-39-00675
276.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Larendon Cnty. 2019-CP-41-00111
277.	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty. 2019-CP-42-00760
278.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Sumter Cnty. 2019-CP-43-00891
279.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Union Cnty. 2019-CP-44-00288
280.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty. 2019-CP-45-00276
281.	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2019-CP-46-02446

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
282.	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
283.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	<p>Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town of Livingston, Pickett County, Town of Byrdstown, Putnam County, City of Algood, Town of Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town of Monterey, White County, Town of Doyle, City of Sparta;</p> <p>Jenning H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Cannon County, Town of Auburntown, Town of Woodbury, Rutherford County, City of Eaglevill, City of La Vergne, City of Murfreesboro, Town of Smyrna;</p> <p>Robert J. Carter, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein,</p>	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	<p>Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331</p> <p>19-02289-9-01-1-1 DOD 19 7 51 Filed 10/20/20 Entered 10/20/20 1:50:58 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 56 of 275 Sain Exh 10</p>

Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
		<p>including Bedord County, Town of Bell Buckle, Town of Normandy, City of Shelbyville, Town of Wartrace, Lincoln County, City of Ardmore, City of Fayetteville, Town of Petersburg, Marshall County, Town of Chapel Hill, Town of Cornersville, City of Lewisburg, Moore County, City of Lynchburg;</p> <p>Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty-Second Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Giles County, City of Elkton, Town of Lynnville, City of Minor Hill, City of Pulaski, Lawrence County, Town of Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of Lawrenceburg, City of Loretto, City of St. Joseph, Maury County, City of Columbia, City of Mount Pleasant, City of Spring Hill, Wayne County, City of Clifton, City of Collinwood, City of Waynesboro;</p> <p>Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison,</p>		<p>19-02289-9-00001 Docket 19-751 Filed 10/10/20 Entered 10/10/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit Preliminary Injunction and Preliminary Injunction Pg 57 of 275</p>

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
			Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and through his Mother.		
284.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eighth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN; Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2	Jared Effler, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. 18-01994-COA-R3-CV FILED DOUGLAS Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 58 of 275

FILED
DOUGLAS
Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction
Pg 58 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
285.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad Litem	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916
286.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. F-004500-17
287.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-13219
288.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-16897
289.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-17066
290.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-17090
291.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-17093
292.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-16905
293.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2017-16907

19-02289-9e1dd D00047375-Filed 05/14/2019
 Preliminary Injunction
 Exhibit
 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
294.	Municipality	Texas	County of Coryell	County of Coryell v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Coryell Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77097
295.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dallas	County of Dallas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77098
296.	Municipality	Texas	County of Delta	County of Delta v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77104
297.	Municipality	Texas	County of Dimmit	County of Dimmit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76933
298.	Municipality	Texas	County of Ector	County of Ector v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76934
299.	Municipality	Texas	County of El Paso	County of El Paso v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76970
300.	Municipality	Texas	County of Falls	County of Falls v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77106
301.	Municipality	Texas	County of Fannin	County of Fannin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76974
302.	Municipality	Texas	County of Grayson	County of Grayson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76994
303.	Municipality	Texas	County of Harrison	County of Harrison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77108
304.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hidalgo	County of Hidalgo v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77109
305.	Municipality	Texas	County of Hopkins	County of Hopkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77111
306.	Municipality	Texas	County of Houston	County of Houston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77021
307.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77346
308.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kendall	County of Kendall v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77023
309.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kerr	County of Kerr v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77114
310.	Municipality	Texas	County of Liberty	County of Liberty v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77116
311.	Municipality	Texas	County of Limestone	County of Limestone v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77025

19-08-2024 09:02:07Z: 58ainExhibit
Page 103 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
329.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
330.	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
331.	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
332.	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty. 180500149
333.	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 180700044
334.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salt Lake Cnty. 180902421
335.	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
336.	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095
337.	Municipality	Utah	Emery County; Juab County; Piute County Sevier County; Wayne County;	Sevier County, Utah; Juab County, Utah; Emery County, Utah; Wayne County, Utah; and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty. 180600050
338.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Ct. Summit Cnty. 180700119
339.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 180700423
340.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; and Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8th Jud. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180700056
341.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180700079
342.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Jud. Ct. Washington Cnty. 180700179

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
343.	Municipality	Utah	Weber County, Utah	Weber County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Weber Cnty. 180903087
344.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia	City of Martinsville, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Martinsville Cnty. CL18000240-00
345.	Municipality	Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia	Dinwiddie County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dinwiddie Cnty. CL19000317-00
346.	Municipality	Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia	The County Board of Arlington County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Arlington Cnty. CL19001081-00
347.	Municipality	Virginia	Mecklenburg County	Mecklenburg County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Mecklenburg County CL19000558-00
348.	Municipality	West Virginia	Brooke County Commission; Hancock County Commission; Harrison County Commission; Lewis County Commission; Marshall County Commission; Ohio County Commission; Tyler County Commission; Wetzel County Commission	Brooke County Commission, Hancock County Commission, Harrison County Commission, Lewis County Commission, Marshall County Commission, Ohio County Commission, Tyler County Commission, and Wetzel County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 17CC000248H 17CC000249H 17CC000250H 17CC000251H 17CC000252H 17CC000253H 17CC000254H 17CC000255H Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 1900000000

10/28/2020 11:51:08 AM
 Plaintiff's Motion for Injunction
 Filed in Case No. 20-000000-0000
 Page 63 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
349.	Municipality	West Virginia	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs	The County Commission of Mason County; The County Commission of Barbour County; Mayor Chris Tatum on behalf of The Village of Barboursville; The County Commission of Taylor County; The County Commission of Webster County; and Mayor Don E. McCourt, on behalf of the Town of Addison a/k/a The Town of Webster Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall County 19-C-4H 19-C-5H 19-C-6H 19-C-7H 19-C-8H 19-C-9H Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-000
350.	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-51 19-C-52 Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-000
351.	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-22H 19-C-23H 19-C-24H 19-C-25H 19-C-26H Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-000

Preliminary Injunction at 150/08 of 275
 Filed 07/20/20
 Page 64 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
352.	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc., et al. Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96H 19-C-108H 19-02289-96H Preliminary Injunctive Relief Case No. 17- md-2804 Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-000
Local Government (MDL)					
353.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	North Ohio 1:18-md-02533-2 Maschke Case No. 17-md-2804
354.	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	North Ohio. 1:18-md-04503 (Cabell) 1:18-md-04504 (Huntington) Maschke Case No. 17-md-2804
355.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	North Ohio 1:18-md-04516-9 Maschke Case No. 17-md-2804
356.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	North Ohio 1:18-md-04513-2 Maschke Case No. 17-md-2804
357.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	North Ohio 1:19-md-04588-6 Maschke Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96H Preliminary Injunctive Relief Case No. 17-md-2804

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
358.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
359.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
360.	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
361.	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md-2804 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction

1:20-op-45055-1 Filed 02/20/20 Entered 02/20/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Pg 66 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
362.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh; Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
363.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
364.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
365.	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
366.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
367.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
368.	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02889-0001 Document 73-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 14:27:11
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Stay

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
385.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-01401
386.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 4:20-cv-00203
387.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00127
388.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00128
389.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00752
390.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00753
391.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00134
392.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
393.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00113
394.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:20-cv-00646
395.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
396.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., etal.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00142
397.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:20-cv-01564
398.	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 3:20-cv-02324

Case 1:20-cv-00135 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/21 Page 1 of 275
 Case 1:20-cv-00135 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/21 Page 69 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
399.	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
400.	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323
401.	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326
402.	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320
403.	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
404.	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02307
405.	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:18-cv-07591

1902289
 Filed 10/20/20
 Preliminary Injunction
 Docket 19-511
 Filed 10/20/20
 Preliminary Injunction
 Pg 70 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
406.	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-00581
407.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 5:19-cv-00440
408.	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Hawaii 1:19-cv-00581
409.	Municipality / Class Action	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. CVS Health Corporation, et al.	D. Hawaii 1:19-cv-00377
410.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
411.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14516
412.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00373
413.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00371
414.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Md. 1:19-cv-02116

19-02285-9
 Filed 04/22/20
 Entered 04/27/20 11:02:27
 Primary Injunction
 Page 7 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
415.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11681
416.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11685
417.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687
418.	Municipality	Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota	City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Minn. 0:19-cv-02379
419.	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930
420.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v. Dannie E. Williams, M.D., et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-01722
421.	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Nev. 2:19-cv-01616
422.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	D. N.H. 1:19-cv-01097
423.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	D. N.H. 1:19-cv-01095
424.	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-13684
425.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
426.	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	D. N.J. 3:19-cv-17998
427.	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. N.Y. 1:19-cv-00896
428.	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. N.Y. 6:19-cv-06490
429.	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. N.Y. 8:19-cv-00782
430.	Municipality / Class Action	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	S.D. N.Y. 7:19-cv-06800

1-10-2020 11:51:08 AM
 Exhibit
 72 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
431.	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:18-cv-03800
432.	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00789
433.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ohio 2:19-cv-04347
434.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00279
435.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00710
436.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00776
437.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
438.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00703
439.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00280
440.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01108

19-02289-990
 Pretrial
 Doc 173
 Filed 04/20/20
 Entered 04/20/20 1:50:08
 PM
 Page 73 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
441.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00721
442.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
443.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
444.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
445.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
446.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984
447.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00320
448.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00879
449.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00300
450.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00926

19-02289-96
 Addendum
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Protection
 Filed 01/17/20
 Page 17 of 27
 19-02289-96
 Addendum
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Protection
 Filed 01/17/20
 Page 17 of 27

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
451.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00880
452.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00987
453.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00878
454.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01110
455.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00815
456.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00804
457.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00816
458.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00380
459.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00291
460.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00711
461.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-04438
462.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	E.D. Pa. 5:19-cv-03884
463.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03590
464.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03845

19-02288-9 et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al. - Document 71-1 Filed 05/20/20 Page 15 of 275

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
465.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02504
466.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03983
467.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02256
468.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02816
469.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02815
470.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02181
471.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03299
472.	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 6:09-cv-00077
473.	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 7:09-cv-00759
474.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 4:09-cv-00029
475.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:09-cv-00513
476.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:09-cv-00457
477.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v. McKesson Corporation, et al.	E.D. Va. 2:09-cv-00331
478.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 7:09-cv-00525
479.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro	The City of Waynesboro, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:09-cv-00058
480.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 3:09-cv-00037
481.	Municipality	Virginia	Cumberland County	Cumberland County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 6:09-cv-00054
482.	Municipality	Virginia	Greensville County	Greensville County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:09-cv-00459

19-02889 Period Document
 Entered on FLTS Docket 04/13/2020
 Page 1 of 275
 4/13/2020 10:50:08 AM
 Main Exhibit

	Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Name	Court / Case Number
483.	Municipality	Virginia	Loudoun County	Loudoun County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 1:19-cv-00778
484.	Municipality	Virginia	Patrick County	Patrick County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00032
485.	Municipality	Virginia	Prince George County	Prince George County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00458
486.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00056
487.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of Supervisors v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.	W.D. Va. 2:19-cv-00039
Tribal (Federal Court Pending Transfer to MDL)					
488.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00955
489.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00956
490.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00556
491.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00957
492.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00485
493.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00557

Document ID: 10022899
 Document Name: Preliminary Injunction
 Document Date: 03/20/2020 1:50:08 PM
 Page 77 of 275

Appendix IV

Chart of Related Party Actions

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
State Actions						
1.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Alabama	The State of Alabama	The State of Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Montgomery Cnty. 03-CV-2019-901174
2.	The Purdue Frederick Company	AG	Alaska	State of Alaska	State of Alaska v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. AK, 3rd Jud. Dist. 3AN-17-09966
3.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima Cnty. C20072471
4.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. (d/b/a The Purdue Frederick Company); Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Arizona	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General	State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	U.S. Supreme Court No. 220151
5.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Leslie Rutledge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pulaski Cnty. 60CV-18-2018

11/03/2023 09:09:01 AM
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction
 Page 79 of 275
 11/03/2023 09:09:01 AM
 Error! Unknown document property name.

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
6.	Dr. Richard S. Sackler The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	California	The People of the State of California	The People of the State of California v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Los Angeles Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV19045
7.	MNP Consulting Limited; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Russell Gasdia; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; James David Haddox	AG	Colorado	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General	The State of Colorado ex rel. Philip J. Weiser, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Denver 2018CV33300
8.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Frank Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Snyderman; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Mark Timney Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; BR Holdings Associates L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company, L.P.; Beacon Company	AG	Connecticut	State of Connecticut	State of Connecticut v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. NO. X07 HHD-CV-19- 6105325-S
9.	Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	AG	DC	District of Columbia	District of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. District of Columbia 2019 CA 003680 B

19-02289-9 et al Docket # 19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg. 89 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
10.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathleen Jennings v. Richard Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N19C-09-062 MMJ (CCLD)
11.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Delaware	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings	State of Delaware, ex rel. Kathy Jennings v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. of Delaware C.A. No. N18C-01-223 MMJ (CCLD)
12.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Florida	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs	State of Florida, Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Pasco Cnty. Case No. 2018-CA-001438
13.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Georgia	State of Georgia	State of Georgia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Gwinnett Cnty. 19-A-00060-4
14.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Guam	Territory of Guam	Territory of Guam v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Guam, Hagatna CV1020-19
15.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Hawaii	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General	State of Hawaii, ex rel. Clare E. Connors, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Cir. Ct. of Hawaii 09-1-0862-06 JHA
16.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Idaho	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden	State of Idaho, Through Attorney General Lawrence G. Wasden v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Ada County District Court CV01-19-10061

19-02289-991
 Filed 01/03/20
 Entered 01/03/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and
 Order of Appointment
 Pg 81 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
17.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-CH-04406
18.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 10 49D10-1811-PL-045447
19.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Indiana	State of Indiana	State of Indiana v. Richard Sackler, et. al.	Marion Cir. / Super. Ct., Civ. Div. 13 49D13-1905-PL-020498
20.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa	State of Iowa, Thomas J. Miller, Attorney General of Iowa v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Polk Cnty. Dist. Ct. EQCE 084514
21.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Kansas	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General	State of Kansas, ex rel. Derek Schmidt, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Shawnee Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-cv-000369
22.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Louisiana	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health	State of LA f/k/a Louisiana Dept. of Health v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge Suit No. 661638
23.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler	AG	Maine	State of Maine	State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kennebec County Superior Court CV-19-112

19-02-289-99-01-001 Filed 04/23/20 Entered 04/23/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction
 Pg 82 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
24.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	Maryland	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General (MD)	Consumer Protection Division Office of the Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Consumer Protection Division of the Office of the Attorney General (Md.) / Office of Administrative Hearings CPD Case No.: 311366 OAH Case No. 1923474
25.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Peter Boer; Paulo Costa; Cecil Pickett; Ralph Synderman; Judith Lewent; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Russell J. Gasdia	AG	Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts	Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. C.A. No. 1884-cv-01808 (BLS2)
26.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Minnesota	State of Minnesota by its Attorney General, Keith Ellison	State of Minnesota by Attorney General, Keith Ellison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct., Hennepin Cnty. Court File No. 27-CV-18-10788
27.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Mississippi	State of Mississippi	State of Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hinds Cnty. 25CH1:15-cv-001814

19-02289-9611
 Preliminary Injunction and
 19-02289-9611
 Filed 02/20/20
 Pg 85 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
28.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Missouri	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General	State of Missouri, ex rel. Eric Schmitt, in his official capacity as Missouri Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Cir. Ct. St. Louis City 1722-CC10626
29.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Montana	State of Montana	State of Montana v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Lewis & Clark Cty. ADV-2017-949
30.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Nevada	State of Nevada	State of Nevada v. McKesson Corp, et al.	Dist. Ct. Clark County A-19-796755-B
31.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2017-CV-00402
32.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler	AG	New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire	State of New Hampshire v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Merrimack Super. Ct. 217-2019-CV-00617
33.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-245-17

19-02289-96111 Doc 1 Filed 04/22/20 Entered 04/22/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Stay of Proceedings Pg 84 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
34.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New Jersey	Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General and Paul Rodriguez, Acting Director of the New Jersey Division of Consumer Affairs	Gurbir S. Grewal, et al. v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Chancery. Div., Essex Cty. ESX-C-115-19
35.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharm L.P., et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201702541
36.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	New Mexico	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General	State of New Mexico, ex rel., Hector Balderas, Attorney General v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Santa Fe Dist. D-101-CV-201902399
37.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	AG	New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York	The People of the State of New York, by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016-2018

19-02289-9-01-0054-73-75-Filed 11/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Primary Injunction and Preliminary Injunction Pg 85 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
38.	Purdue Pharma Technologies Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 18-cv-6051
39.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	AG	North Carolina	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General	State of North Carolina, ex rel. Joshua H. Stein, Attorney General v. Richard Sackler et al.	Super. Ct. Wake Cnty. 19-cv-12596
40.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	North Dakota	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General	State of North Dakota, ex rel. Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Dist. Ct. Burleigh Cnty. 08-2018-CV-01300
41.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Ohio	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General	State of Ohio, ex rel. David Yost, Ohio Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Ross Cnty 17CI000261
42.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 18CV40526
43.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV22185

Filed 11/15/20 Entered 11/15/20 15:08:58
 Plaintiff's Motion for Injunction Pg 86 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
44.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A Sackler Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon	State of Oregon, ex rel. Ellen F. Rosenblum, Attorney General for the State of Oregon v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Cir Ct. Multnomah Cnty. 19CV44161
45.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 257-md-19
46.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	AG	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by Attorney General Josh Shapiro Richard Sackler, et al.	Commonwealth Ct. of PA 508-md-19
47.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico	The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. San Juan SJ2018CV01659
48.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-18-4555
49.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Rhode Island	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General	State of Rhode Island, by and through Peter F. Neronha, Attorney General v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Providence/Bristol County Super. Ct. PC-19-9399
50.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Carolina	State of South Carolina, ex rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General	State of South Carolina rel. Alan Wilson Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Richland Cnty. 2017CP4004872

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
51.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	South Dakota	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General	State of South Dakota, ex rel. Jason Ravnsborg, South Dakota Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Hughes Cnty. 32CIV18-000065
52.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Texas	State of Texas	State of Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77003
53.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler, M.D.; Kathe Sackler, M.D.	AG	Utah	Utah Division of Consumer Protection	In the Matter of Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Division of Consumer Protection of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah DCP Case No. 107102
54.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 757-9-18-CRCV
55.	Richard S. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	AG	Vermont	State of Vermont	State of Vermont v. Richard S. Sackler, et al.	Super. Ct. Chittenden Civ. Div. 469-5-19-CNCV
56.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler	AG	Virginia	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General	Commonwealth of Virginia, ex rel. Mark R. Herring, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Tazewell Cnty. CL18-1076
57.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Washington	State of Washington	State of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. King Cty. 17-2-25505-0 SEA
58.	Richard Sackler, M.D.	AG	West Virginia	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrissey, Attorney General	State of West Virginia, ex rel. Patrick Morrissey, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 19-C-62
59.	Richard S. Sackler	AG	Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin	State of Wisconsin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Dane Cnty. 2019CX000009

19-02289-9
 Filed 01/27/20
 Preliminary Injunction and
 Stay Order
 1/27/20 1:50:08 PM
 Exhibit 88 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
60.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	AG	Wyoming	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General	State of Wyoming, ex rel. Bridget Hill, Attorney General v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Jud. Ct. Laramie Cnty. 190-576
Tribal (MDL)						
61.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	Puyallup Tribe of Indians	Puyallup Tribe of Indians v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45660 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
62.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation	The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Reservation v. AmerisourceBergener Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45749 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
63.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	MDL	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation	The Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
Tribal (State Court)						
64.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Apache Tribe of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Caddo Cnty. CJ-2019-69
Local Government (MDL)						
65.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Broward County, Florida	Broward County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
66.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Cabell County Commission; City of Huntington, West Virginia	Cabell County Commission and City of Huntington, West Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45053 (Cabell) N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45054 (Huntington) Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-99-0001517-5-Filed Pursuant to E.O. 14176, 01/20/20
 U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
 Eastern District of Cincinnati
 Pg 89 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
67.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Chicago	City of Chicago v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:17-op-45169 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
68.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Cleveland	City of Cleveland v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45132 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
69.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Dothan, Alabama	City of Dothan, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45886 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
70.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Henderson, Kentucky	City of Henderson, Kentucky, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated home rule cities v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45062 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
71.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi	The City of Pascagoula, Mississippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45934 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
72.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	City of Stuart, Florida	City of Stuart, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45124 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
73.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The City of Wichita, Kansas	The City of Wichita, Kansas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45781 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
74.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan	Municipality	MDL	Clinton County, Missouri	Clinton County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45130 Master Case No. 17-md-

19-02289-9 Filed 04/22/20 Entered 04/22/20 13:50:58
 Plaintiff Injunction and Preliminary Injunction
 Page 90 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler					2804
75.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	MDL	County of Alameda; City of Costa Mesa; City of Anaheim; City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Alameda County Counsel Donna Ziegler, Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow, and Anaheim City Attorney Robert Fabela, Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Leslie Devaney, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	County of Alameda, et al. v. Richard Sackler, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45055 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-08289-9-10-11 Doc 1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 91 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
76.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	MDL	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland	The County Commissioner of Carroll County Maryland v. Actavis PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
77.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	The County of Cuyahoga, Ohio; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Michael C. O'Malley	The County of Cuyahoga Ohio, and State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney of Cuyahoga County, Mich. C. O'Malley v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45332 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
78.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45158 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
79.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	MDL	County of Summit, Ohio; Summit County Public Health; The City of Akron; State of Ohio ex rel., Prosecuting Attorney for Summit County, Sherri Bevan Walsh and the Director of Law for the City of Akron, Eve Belfance	County of Summit, Ohio et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45090 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02-289-9141-1
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction
 Docket 19-02-289-9141-1
 Filed 02/20/20 1:50:08 PM
 Pg 92 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
80.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	MDL	Hardin County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Hardin County; Breckinridge County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Breckinridge County; Green County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Green County; Meade County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Meade County; Ohio County Fiscal Court, on behalf of Ohio County, on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated counties (Fiscal Courts)	Hardin County Fiscal Court, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45063 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
81.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	MDL	Pike County, Missouri	Pike County, Missouri v. Allergan PLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:20-op-45131 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
Local Government (Federal Court Pending Transfer to MDL)						
82.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama	Alexander City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00630
83.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama	City of Ashland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812
84.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama	City of Brent, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01565
85.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama	City of Brundidge, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00861
86.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama	City of Center Point, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-01812

19-02289-9 et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and Stay Order
 Filed 04/02/20 at 11:09 AM
 Page 93 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
87.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama	City of Chickasaw, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00117
88.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama	City of Eufaula, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00862
89.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama	City of Fairfield, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00202
90.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama	City of Geneva, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-00763
91.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama	City of Headland, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-00886
92.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama	City of Lanett, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00885
93.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama	City of Leeds, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00201
94.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama	City of Level Plains, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00137
95.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama	City of Linden, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00129
96.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama	City of Luverne, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00136
97.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama	City of Oxford, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-01401
98.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama	City of Pell City, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 4:20-cv-00203
99.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama	City of Satsuma, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00127
100.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama	City of Uniontown, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00128
101.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama	Coosa County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00752
102.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama	Crenshaw County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 2:19-cv-00753
103.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama	Escambia County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00134
104.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama	Geneva County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
105.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Perry County, Alabama	Perry County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00113
106.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Russell County, Alabama	Russell County, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Ala. 3:19-cv-00646
107.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama	Town of Dauphin Island, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 1:20-cv-00135
108.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama	Town of Faunsdale, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ala. 2:20-cv-00142
109.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama	Town of Vance, Alabama v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ala. 7:19-cv-01564
110.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	California	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera	The City and County of San Francisco, California and the People of the State of California, acting by and through San Francisco City Attorney Dennis J. Herrera v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Cal. 3:18-cv-07591
111.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow	City of Costa Mesa and the People of the State of California by and through Costa Mesa City Attorney Kimberly Hall Barlow v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02320

Case No. 2:20-cv-00113-1 Filed 02/27/21
 Plaintiff v. Defendant
 Injunction
 Pg 95 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
112.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Fullerton and the People of the State of California by and through Fullerton City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02321
113.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching	City of Irvine and the People of the State of California by and through Irvine City Attorney Jeffrey Melching v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02323

19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 01/15/20
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction
 Pg 96 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
114.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith	City of San Clemente and the People of the State of California, by and through San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 4:19-cv-02326
115.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho	City of Santa Ana and the People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02324

19-02289-9-01-1
 Document 179-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay of Injunction Pg 97 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
116.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones	City of Westminster and the People of the State of California by and through Westminster City Attorney Richard D. Jones v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02325
117.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler	County of Alameda and the People of the State of California by and through County Counsel Donna Ziegler v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D. Cal. 3:19-cv-02307
118.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware	City of Dover, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; City of Seaford, a municipal corporation of the State of Delaware; and Kent County, a political subdivision of the State of Delaware v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Del. 1:19-cv-01749

19-02289-9-01-1
 Document 173-75 Filed 04/02/20 Page 98 of 275
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay
 Pg 98 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
119.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Florida	City of Ocala, Florida	City of Ocala, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 5:19-cv-00440
120.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	Hawaii	County of Hawai'i	County of Hawai'i v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00581
121.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality /Class Action	Hawaii	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class	County of Kaua'i, a political subdivision of the State of Hawaii, for themselves individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated persons, and on behalf of the general public, as a class v. Cipla Health Corporation, et al.	D. Haw. 1:19-cv-00377

19-02289-9 Filed 04/09/20 Entered 04/09/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction Pg 99 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
122.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana	Town of Abita Springs, Louisiana v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14521
123.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Louisiana	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington	Warren Montgomery, Duly Elected 22nd Judicial District Attorney for the Parishes of St. Tammany and Washington v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	E.D. La. 2:19-cv-14516
124.	The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine	City of Rockland, State of Maine v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00373
125.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maine	Knox County, State of Maine	Knox County, State of Maine, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Me. 2:19-cv-00371
126.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Maryland	Howard County	Howard County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Md. 1:19-cv-02116
127.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	Charter Township of Harrison	Charter Township of Harrison v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11681
128.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Sterling Heights	City of Sterling Heights v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11685
129.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Michigan	City of Warren	City of Warren v. The Pain Center USA, PLLC, et al.	E.D. Mich. 2:19-cv-11687
130.	Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Camden County, Missouri	Camden County, Missouri v. Williams et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-02930
131.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.	Municipality	Missouri	St. Francois County	St. Francois County v. E. Williams, M.D., et al.	E.D. Mo. 4:19-cv-01722
132.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	Clark County	Clark County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Nev. 2:19-cv-01616

Case 1:19-cv-00373 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 1:19-cv-00371 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 1:19-cv-00373 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 1:19-cv-00371 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 1:19-cv-02116 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 2:19-cv-11681 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 2:19-cv-11685 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 2:19-cv-11687 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 4:19-cv-02930 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 4:19-cv-01722 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275
 Case 2:19-cv-01616 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 100 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
133.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Cecil Pickett; Paulo Costa; Ralph Snyderman; Frank Boer; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Russell Gasdia; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	New Hampshire	Carroll County	Carroll County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	D. N.H. 1:19-cv-01097
134.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Cecil Pickett; Paulo Costa; Ralph Snyderman; Frank Boer; Judy Lewent; John Stewart; Craig Landau; Mark Timney; Russell Gasdia;	Municipality	New Hampshire	Coos County	Coos County v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., et al.	D. N.H. 1:19-cv-01095

19-02289-96111 Doc 14 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pg 101 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.					
135.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Burlington, NJ	County of Burlington, NJ v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D.N.J. 1:19-cv-13684
136.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Municipality	New Jersey	Cumberland County	Cumberland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. N.J. 1:19-cv-19134
137.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	New Jersey	Township of Brick	Township of Brick v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	D. N.J. 3:19-cv-17998

19-02289-9 Filed 01/02/20 Entered 01/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction Handled/Notified/Injunction Pg 102 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
138.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Amsterdam	City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00896
139.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Auburn	City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D.N.Y. 2:18-cv-03800

19-08289-96-0001
Docket 138
Preliminary Injunction and/or Dispositive Motion
Pg 103 of 275
11/20/2019 11:50:58 AM
Case: 19-08289-96-0001
Case Title: City of Amsterdam v. Purdue Pharma L.P.
Case No: 19-08289-96-0001
Page: 103 of 275
Date Filed: 11/20/2019
Time Filed: 11:50:58 AM

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
140.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Ogdensburg	The City of Ogdensburg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.N.Y. 8:19-cv-00782
141.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality / Class Action	New York	City of Poughkeepsie	The City of Poughkeepsie, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	S.D.N.Y. 7:19-cv-06800

19-08289-96111 Doc 141-1 Filed 04/03/20 Entered 04/03/20 20:15:08
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Dispositive Order Pg 104 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
142.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Rochester	City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D.N.Y. 6:19-cv-06490
143.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Raymond Sackler Family; Mortimer Sackler Family; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of Saratoga Springs	The City of Saratoga Springs v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D.N.Y. 1:19-cv-00789

19-08289-96111 Doc 14-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction Pg 105 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
144.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade	The County of Fayette, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Fayette County, Jess Weade v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Ohio 2:19-cv-04347
145.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County	Board of County Commissioners of Atoka County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00279
146.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County	Board of County Commissioners of Caddo County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00710
147.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County	Board of County Commissioners of Cimarron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00776
148.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County	Board of County Commissioners of Coal County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00405
149.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County	Board of County Commissioners of Grady County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00703
150.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County	Board of County Commissioners of Haskell County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00280
151.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jackson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01108
152.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County	Board of County Commissioners of Jefferson County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00721

19-02289-9 Filed 02/20/20 Entered 02/20/20 14:03:07
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order
 Pg 105 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
153.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County	Board of County Commissioners of Kay County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00884
154.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County	Board of County Commissioners of Latimer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00282
155.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County	Board of County Commissioners of LeFlore County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00362
156.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County	Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01109
157.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County	Board of County Commissioners of Logan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00984
158.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Love County	Board of County Commissioners of Love County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00320
159.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Major County	Board of County Commissioners of Major County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00879
160.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County	Board of County Commissioners of Okfuskee County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00300
161.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County	Board of County Commissioners of Oklahoma County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00926

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Appointment
 Page 107 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
162.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County	Board of County Commissioners of Pottawatomie County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00880
163.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County	Board of County Commissioners of Texas County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00987
164.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County	Board of County Commissioners of Woods County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00878
165.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County	Board of County Commissioners of Woodward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-01110
166.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Anadarko	City of Anadarko v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00815
167.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Bethany	City of Bethany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00804
168.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Fort Cobb	City of Fort Cobb v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00816
169.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Jenks	City of Jenks v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00380
170.	The Purdue Fredick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Seminole	City of Seminole v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Okla. 6:19-cv-00291
171.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Shawnee	City of Shawnee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00711

19-02289-9-0111
 Pre-Implem Injunction
 Filed 04/02/20
 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Pg 108 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
172.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Adams County	Adams County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-04438
173.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania	City of Allentown, Pennsylvania v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	E.D. Pa. 5:19-cv-03884
174.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Angelina	County of Angelina v. Allergan PLC, et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03590
175.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burleson	County of Burleson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-xc-03845
176.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Duval	County of Duval v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02504
177.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Freestone	County of Freestone v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03983
178.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Jim Hogg	County of Jim Hogg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02816
179.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Kleberg	County of Kleberg v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02815
180.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Williamson	County of Williamson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-03299
181.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Ellis County	Ellis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02256

19-02289-96111 Doc 4 75 Filed 04/19/20 Entered 04/19/20 20:20:15-08:58 in Exhibit
Preliminary Injunction and Order of Appointment
Page 109 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
182.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Rockwall County	Rockwall County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	S.D. Tex. 4:19-cv-02181
183.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Municipality	Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia	Amherst County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 6:19-cv-00077
184.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia	Municipality	Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia	Botetourt County, Virginia v. Mallinckrodt PLC, et al.	W.D. Va. 7:19-cv-00759
185.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Charlotte County	Charlotte County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 4:19-cv-00029
186.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Emporia	City of Emporia, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00513
187.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Fredericksburg	City of Fredericksburg, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00457

19-02289-9 Filed 10/20/20 Entered 10/20/20 14:03:02 EDT
Preliminary Injunction and/or Dispositive Motion
Pg 110 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
188.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Virginia	City of Portsmouth	City of Portsmouth v. McKesson Corporation, et al.	E.D. Va. 2:19-cv-00331
189.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Radford	City of Radford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 7:19-cv-00525
190.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	City of Waynesboro, Virginia	The City of Waynesboro, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00058
191.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Culpeper County	Culpeper County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 3:19-cv-00037

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 111 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
197.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Shenandoah County	Shenandoah County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	W.D. Va. 5:19-cv-00056
198.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Virginia	Wise County Board of Supervisors	Wise County Board of Supervisors v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al.	W.D. Va. 2:19-cv-00039
<i>Tribal (Federal Court Pending Transfer to MDL)</i>						
199.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi Nation	Citizen Potawatomi Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00955
200.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Delaware Nation	Delaware Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00956
201.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma	Pawnee Nation of Oklahoma v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00556
202.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Sac & Fox Nation	Sac & Fox Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Okla. 5:19-cv-00957
203.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	The Osage Nation	The Osage Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00485
204.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Tribal	Oklahoma	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town	Thlopthlocco Tribal Town v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Okla. 4:19-cv-00557
<i>Hospital, Individual & Third Party Payor (Federal Court Pending Transfer to MDL)</i>						
205.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler;	Hospital	Alabama	The DCH Health Care Authority; The Healthcare Authority for Baptist	The DCH Health Care Authority; The Healthcare Authority for Baptist Health, an affiliate of UAB Health	S.D. Ala. 1:19-cv-00756

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell “Chip” Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hassenfuss; Joe Read			Health, an affiliate of UAB Health System; Medical West Hospital Authority, an affiliate of UAB Health System; Evergreen Medical Center, LLC; Gilliard Health Services, Inc.; Crestwood Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of Alabama, LLC; QHG of Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity Hospital, LLC; Gadsden Regional Medical Center, LLC; Foley Hospital Corporation; The Health Care Authority of Clarke County, Alabama; BBH PBMC, LLC; BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBH SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH BMC, LLC	System; Medical West Hospital Authority, and affiliate of UAB Health System; Evergreen Medical Center, LLC; Gilliard Health Services, Inc.; Crestwood Healthcare, L.P.; Triad of Alabama, LLC; QHG of Enterprise, Inc.; Affinity Hospital, LLC; Gadsden Regional Medical Center LLC; Foley Hospital Corporation; The Health Care Authority of Clarke County, Alabama; BBH PBMC, LLC; BBH, WBMC, LLC; BBH SBMC, LLC; BBH CBMC, LLC; BBH BMC, LLC; Purdue Pharma, et al.	
206.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Florida	West Volusia Hospital Authority	West Volusia Hospital Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	M.D. Fla. 6:19-cv-01765
207.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler;	Hospital	Kentucky	Bowling Green-Warren County Community Hospital Corporation; The Medical Center at Clinton County, Inc.; The Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug Valley Health Services, Inc. f/k/a Highlands Hospital	Bowling Green-Warren County Community Hospital Corporation; The Medical Center at Clinton County, Inc.; Medical Center at Franklin, Inc.; Arh Tug Valley Health Services, f/k/a Highlands Hospital Corporation; Baptist	W.D. Ky. 1:19-cv-00148

19-08289-9-1-1
 Filed 01/02/20
 Page 14 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Tessa Rios; Amy K. Thompson			Corporation; Baptist Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc.; Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation	Healthcare System, Inc.; Baptist Health Madisonville, Inc.; Baptist Health Richmond, Inc.; Grayson County Hospital Foundation, Inc.; The Harrison Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Saint Elizabeth Medical Center, Inc.; St. Claire Medical Center, Inc. and Taylor County Hospital District Health Facilities Corporation v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	
208.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Individual	Massachusetts	Hickey, Thomas	Thomas Hickey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Mass. 1:19-cv-11806
209.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Carr, Gary	Gary Carr, individually and as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Luther G. Carr, deceased v. Charles E. Carr, M.D., et al.	N.D. Miss. 3:19-cv-00246

11-09-2020 10:22:51 AM
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction
 Pg 115 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
210.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC	I-Kare Treatment Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-03899
211.	Richard Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; David Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Public Service Insurance Company	Public Service Insurance Company v. Janssen Pharmaceuticals et al.	E.D. Pa. 2:19-cv-05904
212.	Richard Sackler; Mortimer David Alfons Sackler; The Sackler Family; Russell J. Gasdia; Craig Landau	Individual	Rhode Island	Heden, Keith D.	Keith D. Heden v. Purdue Pharmaceuticals LLC	D. R.I. 2:19-cv-00586
213.	Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler, M.D.; Kathe A. Sackler; Jonathan D.	Class Action	Tennessee	Rhodes, Roger; Silvers, Anthony; Spradlen, Lea Anne	Roger Rhodes, Anthony Silvers, and Lea Anne Spradlen, on behalf of	M.D. Tenn. 3:19-cv-00885

19-02289-96111 Document 11-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction Page 16 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler				themselves and all others similarly situated v. Rhodes Technologies, Inc., et al.	
214.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Andrew T. Stokes	Hospital	Tennessee	Takoma Regional Hospital, Inc. f/k/a Takoma Hospital, Inc.; Amisub (SFH), Inc.; Baptist Womens Health Center, LLC; Campbell County Hma, LLC; Clarksville Health System, G.P.; Cleveland Tennessee Hospital Company, LLC; Cocke County Hma, LLC; Dickenson Community Hospital; Hawkins County Memorial Hospital f/k/a Hawkins County Memorial Hospital; Jefferson County HMA, LLC; Johnston Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Lebanon HMA, LLC f/k/a Lebanon HMA, Inc.; Lexington Hospital Corporation; Metro Knoxville HMA, LLC; Mountain States Health	Takoma Regional Hospital, Inc. f/k/a/ Takoma Hospital, Inc., et al., v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.	E.D. Tenn. 2:19-cv-00157

1:08-cv-00011-DWM Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Entered 04/10/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction Pg 117 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Alliance f/k/a Johnson City Medical Center Hospital, Inc.; Northeast Tennessee Community Health Centers, Inc.; Norton Community Hospital, Saint Francis Hospital — Bartlett, Inc. f/k/a Tenet Health System Bartlett, Inc.; Shelbyville Hospital Company, LLC f/k/a Shelbyville Hospital Corporation; Smyth County Community Hospital; Tullahoma HMA, LLC f/k/a Tullahoma HMA, Inc.; Wellmont Health System F/K/A Brmc/Hvhmc, Inc.	Preliminary Injunction and Stay	
215.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (“NAS”)	West Virginia	Tilley, Mary	Mary Tilley, as next friend of K.B. Tilley, a minor child under the age of 18 v. The State of West Virginia and Mylan Pharmaceuticals L.P., et al.	S.D. W. Va. 2:19-cv-00566

19-02289-9 Filed 06/11/20 Entered 06/11/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay Pg 118 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
216.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler	Individual	Wisconsin	Petty, Larry, Sr.; Petty, Elizabeth	Larry Petty, Sr., et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	W.D. Wisc. 3:20-cv-00078
<u>Local Government (State Court)</u>						
217.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	Bullhead City	Bullhead City v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Mohave Cnty. Super. Ct. S8015cv201900591
218.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	City of Glendale	City of Glendale v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-010792
219.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	City of Prescott	City of Prescott v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Yavapai Cnty. Super. Ct. P1300cv201900393

19-02289-9 Filed 10/22/20 Entered 10/22/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Dispositive Injunction
 Pg 119 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
220.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	City of Surprise	City of Surprise v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Maricopa Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. CV2019-003439
221.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of Apache	County of Apache v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Apache Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S0100cv201900101
222.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	County of La Paz	County of La Paz v. Allergan PLC, et al.	La Paz Cnty. Super. Ct. Case No. S1500cv201900053
223.	Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Arizona	Pinal County	Pinal County v. Allergan PLC, et al.	Sup. Ct. Pinal Cnty. S1100CV201901448

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinfection Pg 120 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
224.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Prosecuting Attorney	Arkansas	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington; County of Arkansas; County of Ashley; County of Baxter; County of Benton; County of Boone; County of Bradley; County of Calhoun; County of Chicot; County of Clark; County of Clay; County of Cleburne; County of Columbia; County of Conway; County of Craighead; County of Crawford; County of Cross; County of Dallas; County of Desha; County of Faulkner; County of Franklin; County of Fulton; County of Garland; County of Grant; County of Greene; County of Hempstead; County of Hot Spring; County of Howard; County of Independence; County of Izard; County of Jackson; County of Johnson; County of Lafayette; County of Lawrence; County of Lee; County of Lincoln;	State of Arkansas, ex rel. Scott Ellington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19-02289-9-0-1-1 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 121 of 275	Cir. Ct., Crittenden Cnty. CV-2018-268

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				County of Little River; County of Logan; County of Lonoke; County of Madison; County of Miller; County of Mississippi; County of Monroe; County of Montgomery; County of Ouachita; County of Perry; County of Phillips; County of Pike; County of Poinsett; County of Polk; County of Pope; County of Prairie; County of Randolph; County of St. Francis; County of Saline; County of Scott; County of Searcy; County of Sebastian; County of Sevier; County of Sharp; County of Stone; County of Union; County of Van Buren; County of Washington; County of White; County of Woodruff; County of Yell; County of Carroll; County of Newton; County of Cleveland; City of Little Rock; City of Fort Smith; City of Springdale; City of Jonesboro;	19-02289-9-01 D.D. 19-751-1-01 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 122 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				City of North Little Rock; City of Conway; City of Rogers; City of Pine Bluff; City of Bentonville; City of Hot Springs; City of Benton; City of Texarkana; City of Sherwood; City of Jacksonville; City of Monticello		
225.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	City of El Monte, and The People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez	City of El Monte, and People of the State of California, by and through El Monte City Attorney Rick Olivarez v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	El Monte Cnty. Super. Ct. 19STCV10532

19-02289-9-1-1
 Filed 01/14/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Declaratory Judgment
 Pg 123 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
226.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; P.F. Laboratories; PLP Associates Holdings; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	California	City of Santa Ana; City of San Clemente; City of Encinitas; City of La Habra; City of La Mesa; City of Oxnard; City of Placentia; The People of the State of California, by and through Santa Ana City Attorney Sonia R. Carvalho, San Clemente City Attorney Scott C. Smith, Encinitas City Attorney Glenn Sabine, La Habra City Attorney Richard D. Jones, La Mesa City Attorney Glenn Sabine, Oxnard City Attorney Stephen Fischer, and Placentia City Attorney Christian Bettenhausen	City of Santa Ana, et al. v. Actavis Pharma, Inc., et al.	Super. Ct. Orange Cnty. 30-2019-01101802
227.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Memebers of the Raymond Sackler Family; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler	Municipality	California	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison	County of Kern, and The People of the State of California, by and through Kern County Counsel Margo Raison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kern Cnty. Super. Ct. BCV-19-100861

19-02289-96111 Doc 1 Filed 04/10/20 Entered 04/10/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Proposed Injunction Pg 124 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
228.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	California	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker	The People of the State of California, acting by and through Santa Clara County Counsel James R. Williams, Orange County District Attorney Tony Rackauckas, Los Angeles County Counsel Mary C. Wickham, and Oakland City Attorney Barbara J. Parker v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Orange County Super. Ct. 30-2014-00725287-CU-BT-CXC (Short version: 14-725287)
229.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Ansonia; The City of Danbury; The City of Derby; The City of Norwalk	The City of Ansonia, City of Danbury, The City of Derby, and The City of Norwalk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6098036-S
230.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Bridgeport; The Borough of Naugatuck; The Town of Southbury; The Town of Woodbury; The Town of Fairfield; The Town of Beacon Falls; The City of Milford; The Town of Oxford; The City of West Haven; The Town of North Haven; The Town of Thomaston; The City of Torrington; The City of Bristol; The Town of East	The City of Bridgeport v. Purdue Pharma L.P.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6088462-S

Case# 19022899
 Filed 02/28/20
 Entered 04/09/20 15:08:58
 Desc: Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction
 Pg 125 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Hartford; The Town of Southington; The Town of Newtown; The City of Shelton; The Town of Tolland		
231.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Britain	City of New Britain v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6087132-S
232.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New Haven	City of New Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6086134-S
233.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of New London	The City of New London v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094421-S
234.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	City of Waterbury	City of Waterbury v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-17-6088121-S
235.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Stratford; The Town of Berlin; The Town of Middlebury; The Town of Seymour; The Town of Prospect; The Town of Wolcott; The Town of Bethlehem; The Town of New Milford; The Town of Roxbury; The Town of Coventry	The Town of Stratford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Berlin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Middlebury v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Seymour v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Prospect v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Wolcott v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Bethlehem v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of New Milford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Roxbury v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.; The Town of Coventry v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6099290-S
236.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Connecticut	Town of Wallingford	Town of Wallingford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Hartford State Super. Ct. HHD-CV-18-6094422-S
237.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Burbank	The City of Burbank v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012659
238.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Countryside	The City of Countryside v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018L012640
239.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois	City of Granite City, Illinois v. AmerisourceBergers Corp., et al.	Cir. Ct. Madison Cnty. 2018-L-00587 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-010351

11-02-2019 10:12:50 AM
 Case 1:19-cv-01265-126 of 275
 Filed 11/02/19
 Page 126 of 275
 Exhibit 1

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
240.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	City of Sesser	City of Sesser v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008147
241.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	County of Lake; Michael Nerheim, Lake County State's Attorney; Mark C. Curran, Jr., Lake County Sheriff; Dr. Howard Cooper, Lake County Coroner; The County of Lake in the Name of the People of the State of Illinois	County of Lake, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003728
242.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Boone County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Boone Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004539
243.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Bureau County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Bureau County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
244.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Champaign County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Champaign Cnty. 2018-L-000006 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-005935
245.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Cook County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Cook County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook County 2017-L-013180
246.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DeKalb County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. DeKalb Cnty. 2018-L-000072 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013655

19-02289-9edld
 Preliminary Injunction and
 Order of Contempt
 Case No. 19-02289-9edld
 Filed 04/10/20
 Entered 04/10/20 11:50:58
 Pg 127 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
247.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and DuPage County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and DuPage County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004542
248.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Henry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Henry Cnty. 2018-L-000016 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012690
249.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Jersey County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-003908
250.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kane County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and Kane County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
251.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kankakee County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Kankakee Cnty. 2017-L-000104 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004538
252.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Kendall County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Kendall Cnty. 2018-L-000078 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012741

19-02289-9-0101
 Pre-litigation Injunction
 Docket 19-02289-9-0101
 Filed 04/23/20
 Entered 04/23/20 10:42:00
 Page 128 of 275
 Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
253.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and LaSalle County	The People of the State of Illinois and LaSalle County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. LaSalle Cnty. 2019-L-000052 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2019-L-008722
254.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macon County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002916
255.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Macoupin County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Macoupin Cnty. 2018-L-000030 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-013247
256.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McHenry County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-002948

19-082899-0101-D-0001-71
 Preliminary Injunction
 Filed 10/22/20
 Entered 10/22/20 15:08:58
 Pg 129 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
257.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Sutart D. Baker	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and McLean County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois and McLean County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al.	Cir. Ct. McLean Cnty. 2019-L-0000108
258.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Piatt County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Piatt Cnty. 2018-L-000007 Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012689
259.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois	The People of the State of Illinois, and Will County, Illinois v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-004546
260.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bedford Park	Village of Bedford Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008819
261.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Bridgeview	Village of Bridgeview v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009526
262.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Evergreen Park	Village of Evergreen Park v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-012652
263.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Hodgkins	Village of Hodgkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-009848
264.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Lyons	Village of Lyons v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008746
265.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Illinois	Village of Summit	Village of Summit v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty. 2018-L-008803

19-02289-96111 DocId:32175111 Filed:04/02/20 Entered:04/11/20 13:07:50
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing Motion

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
266.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard S. Sackler; David Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler	Municipality	Maryland	Anne Arundel County	Anne Arundel County, Maryland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Anne Arundel Cnty. C-02-CV-18-000021
267.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Maryland	Mayor & City Council of Baltimore	Mayor & City Council Baltimore v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Baltimore City 25C1800515
268.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Boston; The Boston Public Health Commission; The Boston Housing Authority	City of Boston, The Boston Public Health Commission, The Boston Housing Authority v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 1884CV02860B
269.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Cambridge	City of Cambridge v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-1044

19-02289-9
 Filed 01/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction
 Docket 19-751
 Pg 131 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
270.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Chicopee	City of Chicopee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 1979CV00074
271.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Framingham	City of Framingham v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3483
272.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	City of Gloucester	City of Gloucester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1877CV01773

19-02289-9-0111
 Docket 19-02289-9-0111
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction
 Filed 04/02/20 10:50:08 AM
 Pg 132 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
276.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Canton	Town of Canton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 18-1582
277.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Lynnfield	Town of Lynnfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Essex Cnty. 1899CV01769D
278.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Natick	Town of Natick v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 19-646

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 134 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
279.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Randolph	Town of Randolph v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Norfolk Cnty. 1982CV00400
280.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Springfield	Town of Springfield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Hampden Cnty. 18-938
281.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc. Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Massachusetts	Town of Wakefield	Town of Wakefield v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Middlesex Cnty. 18-3458
282.	Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan D. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Polk County, Missouri	Polk County, Missouri Allergan PLC, et al.	Cir. Ct. Polk Cnty. 1922-CC11660

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Pg 135 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
283.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard S. Sackler	Municipality	Missouri	Jefferson County; Butler County; Cape Girardeau County; Christian County; City of Independence; City of Joplin; Crawford County; Dent County; Dunklin County; Franklin County; Greene County; Iron County; Jasper County; Madison County; Perry County; Ste. Genevieve County; Stone County; Taney County; Texas County; Washington County	Jefferson County, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	22nd Judicial Circuit Court, St. Louis City 1922-CC00203
284.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Henderson	City of Henderson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800695-B Dept. 11

19-02289-96-0001 D004 74 75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 136 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
285.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Las Vegas	City of Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800697-B Dept. 27
286.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; Beacon Company; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of North Las Vegas	City of North Las Vegas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Clark Cnty. A-19-800699-B Dept. 11
287.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Aida B. Maxsam	Municipality	Nevada	City of Reno	City of Reno v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Eighth Jud. Dist Ct. Washoe Cnty. CV18-01895

19-02289-9-001-DOD 7/27/20 Preliminary Injunction and/or Dissolution

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
288.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	New Jersey	City of Trenton	City of Trenton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Mercer Cnty. MER-L001167-19
289.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey	County of Ocean, New Jersey v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ, Ocean Cnty. OCN-L-001474-19

19-02289-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 138 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
290.	<p>The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker</p>	Municipality	New York	City of Albany	City of Albany v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2019
291.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	<p>City of Alma, GA; Village of Amityville, NY; Town of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Village of Babylon, NY; Bacon County, GA; City of Bayonne, NJ; Village of Bellport, NY; City of Blackshear, GA; Town of Brookhaven, NY; City of Brunswick, GA; Chatham County, GA; Town of Clarkstown, NY; City of Clifton, NJ; Town of Clinton, NJ; Dade County, GA; City of Demorest, GA; East Hampton Village,</p>	City of Alma, GA, et al. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400031/2019

19-02289-9-Edid D Doc 71
Filed 07/27/20 15:08
Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction Pg 139 of 275
Edid
Disinjunction
Pg 139 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				NY; Village of East Rockaway, NY; City of Elizabeth, NJ; Village of Farmingdale, NY; Village of Floral Park, NY; Village of Garden City, NY; Village of Greenport, NY; Town of Haverstraw, NY; Town of Hempstead, NY; Town of Huntington, NY; Village of Island Park, NY; Village of Islandia, NY; Town of Islip, NY; Village of Lake Grove, NY; Village of Lawrence, NY; Village of Lindenhurst, NY; Village of Lloyd Harbor, NY; City of Long Beach, NY; Village of Lynbrook, NY; Village of Massapequa Park, NY; Village of Mill Neck, NY;	19-02289-9 et al v DDD 19 75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 10/14/20 15:08:58 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 140 of 275	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Village of Millerton, NY; Village of New Hyde Park, NY; Village of Nissequoge, NY; Town of North Hempstead, NY; Village of Northport, NY; Village of Old Westbury, NY; Town of Orangetown, NY; Town of Oyster Bay, NY; Borough of Paramus, NJ; Village of Patchogue, NY; Pierce County, GA; City of Pooler, GA; Village of Poquott, NY; Village of Port Washington North, NY; City of Richmond Hill, GA; Town of Riverhead, NY; Village of Saltaire, NY; Town of Smithtown, NY; Town of Southampton, NY; Town of Southold, NY; Village of Stewart Manor, NY; Village of Suffern, NY;	<p>19-02289-96tdl D D004 74 75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 04/14/2020 15:08:58 in Exhibit Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction Pg 141 of 275</p>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Village of Valley Stream, NY; Village of the Branch, NY; Town of Wappinger, NY; Village of Wappinger Falls, NY; Village of West Hampton Dunes, NY; Village of West Haverstraw, NY; Village of Westbury, NY; Village of Hempstead, NY		
292.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	The City of Buffalo	The City of Buffalo v. Buffalo Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 811359-2019

19-02289-96101
Dodd 19-751
Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction
Pg 142 of 275
Date Filed: 04/03/20
Time Filed: 1:50:08
Section: 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
293.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	City of Ithaca	City of Ithaca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400002/2018
294.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	City of Mount Vernon	The City of Mount Vernon v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400016/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Injunction Pg 143 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
295.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	City of New York	City of New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400006/2018
296.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Plattsburgh	City of Plattsburgh v. The Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction
 Pg 144 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
297.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Schenectady	City of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2019
298.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	City of Troy	City of Troy v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction
 Pg 145 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
299.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.;; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.;; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;; Coventry Technologies L.P.;; Richard S. Sackler;; Jonathan D. Sackler;; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;; Kathe A. Sackler;; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;; Beverly Sackler;; Theresa Sackler;; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	City of Yonkers	City of Yonkers v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400020/2019
300.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;; Richard S. Sackler;; Jonathan D. Sackler;; Mortimer D.A. Sackler;; Kathe A. Sackler;; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;; Beverly Sackler;; Theresa Sackler;; David A. Sackler;; Stuart D. Baker;; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond	Municipality	New York	County of Broome	County of Broome v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400002/2017

19-02899-9eidd D0004 78 75 Filed 10/15/20 Entered 10/14/20 15:08:58
Preliminary Injunction and/or Dispositive Injunction Pg 146 of 275
Main Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler Family					
301.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Cattaraugus	The County of Cattaraugus v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400027/2019
302.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Cayuga	The County of Cayuga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2019
303.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chautauqua	The County of Chautauqua v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Chautauqua Cnty. KI-2018-57
304.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Chenango	The County of Chenango v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400021/2019
305.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Clinton	The County of Clinton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400003/2018

19-08-2023 09:51:08
 Preliminary Injunction and Defendant's Injunction
 Filed 08/20/2020 at 09:51:08 AM
 Pg 147 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
306.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family oratories Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Columbia	County of Columbia v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400015/2018
307.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Cortland	County of Cortland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400019/2018

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Contempt Injunction
 Pg 148 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
308.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Dutchess	County of Dutchess v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400005/2017
309.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400003/2017
310.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Essex	County of Essex v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400019/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 1-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Dispositive Injunction Pg. 149 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
311.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P. Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Franklin	County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400012/2018
312.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Fulton	County of Fulton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400018/2018

19-02289-9-01-0001
 Preliminary Injunction and
 Proposed
 01/20/2020 10:58:58 AM
 Pg 150 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
313.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Genesee	County of Genesee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19-02289-9 et al. v. County of Genesee Preliminary Injunction and Request for Appointment of Receiver Docket 19-02289-9 FILED 04/03/20 10:20:15 AM CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT SUFFOLK COUNTY Pg 151 of 275	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2018
314.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2018

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
315.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Hamilton	County of Hamilton v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400005/2018

11/22/2017 11:50:08 AM
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order
 Pg 152 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
316.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Herkimer	County of Herkimer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400008/2019
317.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	New York	County of Lewis	County of Lewis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2019
318.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Livingston	County of Livingston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018
319.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Madison	County of Madison v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400028/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 11
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction
 Filed 04/22/20 Entered 04/22/20 15:08:58
 Page 53 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
320.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400017/2018
321.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Montgomery	The County of Montgomery v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2019

19-0822899-0011 D000471
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction
 Pg 154 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
322.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Nassau	County of Nassau v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400008/2017
323.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Niagara	County of Niagara v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400012/2017

19-08289-961dd D00d 71 75 Filed 04/03/20 Entered 04/03/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Affirmation of Injunction Pg 155 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974					
324.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Ontario	The County of Ontario v Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and Preliminary Injunction	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400001-2019

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Eastern District of Virginia
 19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Eastern District of Virginia
 Pg 156 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
325.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400004/2017
326.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Oswego	County of Oswego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2018
327.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Otsego	County of Otsego v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400023/2019
328.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Putnam	County of Putnam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2019

19-02289-96111 Doc 11 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:27:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Injunction Pg 157 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
329.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Rensselaer	County of Rensselaer v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011/2017

19-02289-9611 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:56 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 158 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
330.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Saratoga	County of Saratoga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400009/2018
331.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Schenectady	County of Schenectady v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400009/2017

19-02289-9-10-11
 Preliminary Injunction and Assignment of Rights
 Filed 10/20/17
 Pg 159 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
332.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Schoharie	County of Schoharie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19-02289-9-01-1 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order Docket No. 19-02289-9-01-1 Filed 04/20/2017 11:50:58 AM Pg 160 of 275	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2017
333.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler;	Municipality	New York	County of Schuyler	County of Schuyler v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400014/2018

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974					
334.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Seneca	County of Seneca v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400006/2017

19-02289-9-01-1
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Contempt
 Filed 08/20/20 1:50:58 PM
 Pg 161 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
335.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of St. Lawrence	County of St. Lawrence v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400002/2019
336.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D.	Municipality	New York	County of Steuben	County of Steuben v. The Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400004/2018

19-02289-96111 Doc 11 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Disinjunction Pg 162 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974					
337.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Suffolk	County of Suffolk v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk County 400001/2017
338.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Sullivan	County of Sullivan v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400007/2017

19-02289-96111 Doc 73-75 Filed 11/04/20 Entered 11/04/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Contempt Pg 163 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
339.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400022/2019
340.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Tompkins	County of Tompkins v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. 19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty 400001/2018

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
341.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Ulster	County of Ulster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400011-2019
342.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	County of Warren	County of Warren v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400030/2019
343.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.;; Rhodes Technologies Inc.;; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	Municipality	New York	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2019

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
344.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories; PRA Holdings, Inc.; Pharmaceutical Research Associates, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Coventry Technologies L.P.; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Beverly Sackler, Richard S. Sackler, and Jonathan D. Sackler, as Trustees under Trust Agreement dated November 5, 1974	Municipality	New York	County of Westchester	County of Westchester v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400010/2018
345.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker;	Municipality	New York	County of Wyoming	County of Wyoming v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Sup. Ct. Suffolk Cnty. 400013/2018

19-02289-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100
 Preliminary Injunction and Preliminary Injunction Pg 166 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family					
346.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Amherst	Town of Amherst v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 803887-2018
347.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Cheektowaga	Town of Cheektowaga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 806151-2018
348.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Lancaster	Town of Lancaster v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 809160-2018
349.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	Town of Tonawanda	Town of Tonawanda v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Erie Cnty. 810783-2018
350.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York	The Town of Wappinger, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-54549
351.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York	The Village of Wappingers Falls, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Sup. Ct. Dutchess Cnty. 2019-53838
352.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Ohio	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson	The County of Medina, Ohio; The State of Ohio ex rel. Prosecuting Attorney of Medina County, S. Forrest Thompson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Medina Cnty. 19-CIV-0838
353.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County	Board of County Commissioners of Cleveland County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Cleveland Cnty. CJ-2019-592
354.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County	Board of County Commissioners of Greer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Greer Cnty. CJ-2019-12
355.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County	Board of County Commissioners of Hughes County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Hughes Cnty. CJ-2019-36

19-002289-96 added to Docket 01/13/20 Filed 01/13/20 Entered 01/13/20 15:08:58 in
 Preliminary Proceedings Jurisdiction of Plaintiff's Motion for Judgment of Finality
 Page 167 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
356.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County	Board of County Commissioners of McCurtain County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. McCurtain Cnty. CJ-2019-54
357.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County	Board of County Commissioners of Noble County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Noble Cnty. CJ-2019-05
358.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Oklahoma	City of Burns Flat	City of Burns Flat v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	D. Ct. Washita Cnty. CJ-2019-29
359.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Armstrong County	Armstrong County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Armstrong Cty. 2017-1570-CV
360.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania	Beaver County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty 11326-2017
361.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bedford County	Bedford County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bedford Cnty. 180-2020
362.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Bucks County	Bucks County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. No. 2018-03144
363.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania	Cambria County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cambria Cnty 2017-4131

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction
 Pg 168 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
364.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Craig Landau; John Stewart; Mark Timney	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Lock Haven	City of Lock Haven v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 1126-2018
365.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Philadelphia	City of Philadelphia v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia January Term, 2018 No. 02718
366.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	City of Pittsburgh	City of Pittsburgh v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006153
367.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania	Clearfield County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clearfield Cnty. 2018-1484-CD
368.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Clinton County	Clinton County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clinton Cnty. 752-2018
369.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner	Commonwealth of PA, acting by and through Philadelphia District Attorney Lawrence S. Krasner v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2017-008095 Phila. Ct. Com. Pl., January Term 2018, No. 05594
370.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, the District Attorney of Berks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through John T. Adams, District Attorney of Berks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Berks Cnty. 19-18232

19-02289-961dd
 Preliminary Injunction and Order
 Docket # 19-02289-961dd
 Filed 04/05/20 11:50:08 AM
 State of PA
 Pg 169 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
371.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Francis T. Chardo, the District Attorney of Dauphin County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty., 12th Jud. Dist. 2019-CV-7795-CV
372.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, acting by and through Jack Daneri, the District Attorney of Erie County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty., 6th Jud. Dist. 12837-19
373.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA	Commonwealth of PA, acting by James Martin; People of Lehigh County and Lehigh County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lehigh Cnty. 2018-C-0716
374.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Jack Stollsteimer, the District Attorney of Delaware County v. AmerisourceBergen	C.P. Delaware Cnty. CV-2020-002026
375.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Acting by and through Matthew D. Weintraub, the District Attorney of Bucks County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2020-00639
376.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Allegheny	County of Allegheny v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Allegheny Cnty. 18-006155
377.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Bradford	County of Bradford v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bradford Cnty. 2018-CV-0059

19-02289-9 efiled 10/02/20 1:50:58 PM Eastern Standard Time Page 170 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
378.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family, The P.F. Laboratories, Inc., Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Carbon	County of Carbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Carbon Cnty. No. 18-0990
379.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Clarion	County of Clarion v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Clarion Cnty. 285-CD-2018
380.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Cumberland	County of Cumberland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Cumberland 2018-02147
381.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Erie	County of Erie v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Erie Cnty. 11577-18
382.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Fayette	County of Fayette v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Fayette County 2017-2676
383.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Greene	County of Greene v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Greene Cnty. 791-2017
384.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Monroe	County of Monroe v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Monroe Cnty. 3972-CV-18
385.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Tioga	County of Tioga v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Tioga Cnty. 563-CV-2018
386.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Washington	County of Washington v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Washington Cnty. 2017-6268
387.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of Westmoreland	County of Westmoreland v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Westmoreland Cnty. 2017-5975
388.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	County of York	County of York v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2017-SU-003372

19-002899-96dld DDoc1 781
 25-11-14
 Filed in the
 Eastern District of
 Pennsylvania
 Preliminary Injunction
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 09/11/2017
 Page 171 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
389.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Dauphin County, PA	Dauphin County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Dauphin Cnty. 2018-CV-716
390.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Delaware County	Delaware County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Delaware Cnty. No. 2017-008095
391.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Franklin County	Franklin County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Franklin Cnty. 2019-2445
392.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania	Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Lackawanna Cnty. 17-cv-5156
393.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania	Lawrence County, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Beaver Cnty. 11180-2017
394.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mahoning Township	Mahoning Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180603466
395.	The Purdue Frederick Company inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Mercer County	Mercer County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Mercer Cnty. 2018-1596
396.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norriton	The Municipality of Norristown and The Township of West Norristown v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Montgomery Cnty. 2019-12178
397.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Newtown Township	Newtown Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-03043

19-02289-961dd D0004 73 75 F1
 Preliminary Injunction and
 Order of Contempt
 Filed 04/02/20
 Entered 04/02/20 10:52:07
 Page 172 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
398.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA	People of Northampton County and Northampton County, PA v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Northampton County C48-cv-2017-11557
399.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Pike County, Pa.	Pike County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Pike Cnty. No. 602-2018
400.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Schuylkill County, Pa.	Schuylkill County, Pa. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Schuylkill Cnty. S-1241-18
401.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Wampum Borough	Wampum Borough v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. July Term 2018 No. 01963
402.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Jonathan Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt	Municipality	Pennsylvania	Warrington Township	Warrington Township v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Bucks Cnty. 2019-04956
403.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;	Municipality	South Carolina	City of Charleston	City of Charleston v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04294

19-02-2899-0101-11
 Preliminary Injunction
 Filed 02/20/20 Entered 02/20/20 15:08:58
 In and on behalf of Plaintiff
 Pg 173 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker					
404.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	South Carolina	City of North Charleston	City of North Charleston Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-03978

19-02289-9 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 174 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
405.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Abbeville	County of Abbeville v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Abbeville Cnty. 2019-CP-01-00154
406.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Aiken	County of Aiken v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Aiken Cnty. 2019-CP-02-01086

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction Pg 175 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
407.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Allendale	County of Allendale v. Aid of South Carolina et al.	C.P. Allendale Cnty. 2018-CP-03-00125

19-02289-9-01-19
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction
 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Pg 176 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
408.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Anderson	County of Anderson v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Anderson Cnty. 2018-CP-04-01108
409.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Bamberg	County of Bamberg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc.	C.P. Bamberg Cnty. 2018-CP-05-00189

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction Pg 177 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
410.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Barnwell	County of Barnwell v. Board of Aid of South Carolina Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction	C.P. Barnwell Cnty. 2018-CP-06-00329

19-02289-9-01-19
FILED IN 19-02289-9-01-19
Pg 178 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
411.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Beaufort	County of Beaufort v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19-02289-9-01-01 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction	C.P. Beaufort Cnty. 2018-CP-07-01245

19-02289-9-01-01
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 179 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
412.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Calhoun	County of Calhoun v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Calhoun Cnty. 2019-CP-09-00065
413.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Cherokee	County of Cherokee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-11-00503

19-08289-96111 Doc 1 Filed 10/20/19 Page 1 of 1
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Restraining Order
 Pg 180 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
414.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Chesterfield	County of Chesterfield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina et al.	C.P. Chesterfield Cnty. 2018-CP-13-00410

19-02289-9-01-1
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction Pg 181 of 275
 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
415.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Clarendon	County of Clarendon v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-14-00236
416.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Colleton	County of Colleton v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Colleton Cnty. 2018-CP-15-00438

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Restraining Order Pg 182 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
417.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dillon	County of Dillon v. Rhodes of South Carolina, Inc. et al. Preliminary Injunction and Request for Appointment of Receiver	C.P. Dillon Cnty. 2019-CP-17-00213

19-02289-9-1-15
 Filed 01/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and Request for Appointment of Receiver
 Pg 188 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
418.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Dorchester	County of Dorchester v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Dorchester Cnty. 2018-CP-18-01122
419.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Edgefield	County of Edgefield v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Edgefield Cnty. 2019-CP-19-00120

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction Pg 184 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
420.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Fairfield	County of Fairfield v. Aid of South Carolina et al.	C.P. Fairfield Cnty. 2018-CP-20-00272

19-02289-9-01-19
 Filed 04/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction
 Pg 185 of 275
 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
421.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Florence	County of Florence v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19-02289-9-01-0001 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order	C.P. Florence Cnty. 2019-CP-21-01213

19-02289-9-01-0001
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order
 Pg 186 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
422.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Greenwood	County of Greenwood v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Cherokee Cnty. 2018-CP-24-00775
423.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Hampton	County of Hampton v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Hampton Cnty. 2018-CP-25-00258

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Injunction Pg 187 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
424.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Horry	County of Horry v. Rhodes and Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al. Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction	C.P. Horry Cnty. 2019-CP-26-02684

19-02289-9-01-0004 7:51 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 188 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
425.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Jasper	County of Jasper v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Jasper Cnty. 2018-CP-27-00332
426.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Kershaw	County of Kershaw v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc.	C.P. Kershaw Cnty. 2018-CP-28-00553

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Injunction Pg 189 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
427.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceutical Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lancaster	County of Lancaster v. et al. Aid of South Carolina et al.	C.P. Lancaster Cnty. 2019-CP-29-00540

19-02289-9-01-19
 Filed 04/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Temporary Injunction
 Pg 190 of 275
 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
428.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Laurens	County of Laurens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Laurens Cnty. 2018-CP-30-00606

19-02289-96111 Doc 73 Filed 11/14/19 Entered 11/14/19 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Contempt Pg 191 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
429.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lee	County of Lee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Lee Cnty. 2018-CP-31-00207
430.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Lexington	County of Lexington v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Lexington Cnty. 2018-CP-32-02207

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Injunction Pg 192 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
431.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Marion	County of Marion v. Board of South Carolina, Inc. Preliminary Injunction and Request for Aid	C.P. Marion Cnty. 2019-CP-33-00299

19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 04/02/20 10:20:50
 Preliminary Injunction and Request for Aid
 Pg 198 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
432.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of McCormick	County of McCormick v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. McCormick Cnty. 2019-CP-35-00031
433.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Oconee	County of Oconee v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Oconee Cnty. 2018-CP-37-00458

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 194 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
434.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Orangeburg	County of Orangeburg, Site Aid of South Carolina et al.	C.P. Orangeburg Cnty. 2018-CP-38-00841

19-02289-9-01-17
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction
 Filed 04/02/20 09:20:50
 Pg 195 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
435.	<p>The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.;</p> <p>Rhodes Technologies Inc.;</p> <p>Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.;</p> <p>P.F. Laboratories, Inc.;</p> <p>Richard S. Sackler;</p> <p>Jonathan D. Sackler;</p> <p>Mortimer D.A. Sackler;</p> <p>Kathe A. Sackler;</p> <p>Ilene Sackler Lefcourt;</p> <p>Beverly Sackler;</p> <p>Theresa Sackler;</p> <p>David A. Sackler;</p> <p>Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family;</p> <p>Stuart D. Baker;</p> <p>Leavis Sullivan;</p> <p>Beth Taylor;</p> <p>Leigh Varnadore;</p> <p>Paul Kitchin;</p> <p>Wendy Kay;</p> <p>Michael Madden;</p> <p>Jeffrey Ward;</p> <p>Mark Waldrop</p>	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Pickens	County of Pickens v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Pickens Cnty. 18-CP-39-00675

190828996d1 D004 75 75 Filed 10/20/20 1:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Injunction Pg 196 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
436.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Saluda	County of Saluda v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Clarendon Cnty. 2019-CP-41-00111
437.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Techonologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Sumter	County of Sumter v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Sumter Cnty. 2019-CP-43-00891

19-02289-96111 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay Order Pg 197 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
438.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Union	County of Union v. R. Ad of South Carolina, Inc. et al. Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction	C.P. Union Cnty. 2018-CP-44-00288

19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 04/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction Pg 198 of 275
 19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 04/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction Pg 198 of 275
 19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 04/02/20
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction Pg 198 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
439.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	County of Williamsburg	County of Williamsburg v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. Williamsburg Cnty. 2018-CP-45-00276
440.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler;	Municipality	South Carolina	County of York	County of York v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al.	C.P. York Cnty. 2018-CP-46-02446

19-02289-96111 Doc 13 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Proposed Order on Motion for Preliminary Injunction Pg 199 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop					
441.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	Greenville County	Greenville County v. State Aid of South Carolina, Inc. Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction	C.P. Greenville Cnty. 2018-CP-23-01294

19-02289-9-01-1
 Filed 04/02/20
 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Permanent Injunction Pg 200 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
442.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker; Leavis Sullivan; Beth Taylor; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Wendy Kay; Michael Madden; Jeffrey Ward; Mark Waldrop	Municipality	South Carolina	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg County v. Rite Aid of South Carolina, Inc., et al. 19-02289-9-01-0001 Preliminary Injunction and Request for Appointment of Receiver Filed 04/20/19 10:20 AM Eastern District of South Carolina Pg 201 of 275	C.P. Spartanburg Cnty. 2018-CP-42-00760

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
443.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Leavis Sullivan; Leigh Varnadore; Paul Kitchin; Beth Taylor; Mark Waldrop; Michael Madden; Wendy Kay; Jeffrey Ward; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Municipality	South Carolina	Town of Mount Pleasant	Town of Mount Pleasant v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Charleston Cnty. 2019-CP-10-04302
444.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Barry Staubus, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Second Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein; Tony Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the First Judicial District and on	Barry Staubus, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.,	Cir. Ct. Sullivan Cnty. No. C-41916

19-0289-9611 Doc 15 Filed 02/14/20 Entered 02/19/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and 2/19/20 Pg 202 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				<p>behalf of all political subdivisions therein;</p> <p>Dan Armstrong, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Third Judicial District and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein;</p> <p>Baby Doe, by and through his Guadian Ad Litem</p>		
445.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Bryant C. Dunaway, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Thirteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, Including Clay County, City of Celine, Cumberland County, City of Crab Orchard, City of Crossville, Town of Pleasant Hill, Dekalb County, Town of Alexandria, Town of Dowelltown, Town of Liberty, City of Smithville, Overton County, Town of Livingston, Pickett County, Town of Byrdstown, Putnam County, City of Algood,	Bryant C. Dunaway, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Injunction	Cir. Ct. Cumberland Cnty. CCI-2018-CV-6331

19-02289-9 et al v D 00147175 Filed 10/20/20 Entered 10/20/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit Pg 203 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				<p>Town of Baxter, City of Cookeville, Town of Monterey, White County, Town of Doyle, City of Sparta; Jenning H. Jones, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixteenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Cannon County, Town of Auburntown, Town of Woodbury, Rutherford County, City of Eaglevill, City of La Vergne, City of Murfreesboro, Town of Smyrna;</p> <p>Robert J. Carter, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventeenth Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Bedord County, Town of Bell Buckle, Town of Normandy, City of Shelbyville, Town of Wartrace, Lincoln County, City of</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">19-022899-0001 D0004 71 75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 04/01/2020 15:08:58 Preliminary Injunction and 20310174 Injunction Pg 204 of 275</p>	

19-022899-0001
D0004 71 75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 04/01/2020 15:08:58
Preliminary Injunction and 20310174 Injunction Pg 204 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				<p>Ardmore, City of Fayetteville, Town of Petersburg, Marshall County, Town of Chapel Hill, Town of Cornersville, City of Lewisburg, Moore County, City of Lynchburg;</p> <p>Brent A. Cooper, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twenty- Second Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Giles County, City of Elkton, Town of Lynnville, City of Minor Hill, City of Pulaski, Lawrence County, Town of Ethridge, City of Iron City, City of Lawrenceburg, City of Loretto, City of St. Joseph, Maury County, City of Columbia, City of Mount Pleasant, City of Spring Hill, Wayne County, City of Clifton, City of Collinwood, City of Waynesboro;</p> <p>Lisa S. Zavogiannis, in her official capacity as the District Attorney</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">19-02289-9611 Docket 73 75 Filed 04/03/20 Preliminary Injunction and 20-4117-14 Injunction Pg 205 of 275</p>	

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				General for the Thirty-First Judicial District, TN and on behalf of all political subdivisions therein, including Van Buren County, Town of Spencer, Warren County, Town of Centertown, City of McMinnville, Town of Morrison, Town of Viola; Baby Doe, by and through his Mother		
446.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	District Attorney General / Municipality	Tennessee	Jared Effler, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Eighth Judicial District, TN; Charme Allen, in her official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District; Dave Clark, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Seventh Judicial District, TN; Russell Johnson, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Ninth Judicial District, TN;	Jared Effler, et al. v. Pharma L.P., et al.	Eastern Section at Knoxville Court of Appeals No. E2018-01994-COA-R3-CV

19-02289-0001 Document ID: 751 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 Eastern Standard Time
 Preliminary Injunction and Stay Order Pg 206 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Stephen Crump, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District, TN; Jimmy Dunn, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Fourth Judicial District, TN; Mike Taylor, in his official capacity as the District Attorney General for the Twelfth Judicial District, TN Baby Doe #1; Baby Doe #2	 19-02289-9 et al v. DD004 23 75 Filed 08/14/20 Preliminary Injunction	
447.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Tennessee	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners	Shelby County, by the Shelby Board of Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Shelby Cnty. No. CT-004500-17
448.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	City of Houston, Texas	City of Houston, Texas v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2019-43219
449.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bee	County of Bee v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76897
450.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Bexar	County of Bexar v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77066
451.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Burnet	County of Burnet v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77090
452.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cameron	County of Cameron v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77093
453.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cass	County of Cass v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76905
454.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Cooke	County of Cooke v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-76907

19-02289-9 et al v. DD004 23 75 Filed 08/14/20
Preliminary Injunction
Page 207 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
473.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of McMullen	County of McMullen v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77067
474.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Milam	County of Milam v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77141
475.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nacogdoches	County of Nacogdoches v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77027
476.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Nueces; Nueces County Hospital District	County of Nueces and Nueces County Hospital District v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77083
477.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Orange	County of Orange v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77036
478.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Panola	County of Panola v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77037
479.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Parker	County of Parker v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77143
480.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Potter	County of Potter v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77039
481.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Robertson	County of Robertson v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77043
482.	The Purdue Frederick Company; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of San Patricio	County of San Patricio v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77075
483.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Shelby	County of Shelby v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77062
484.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Travis	County of Travis v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77144
485.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Trinity	County of Trinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77080
486.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Van Zandt	County of Van Zandt v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77150
487.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Waller	County of Waller v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77153
488.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	County of Wood	County of Wood v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-77081

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
489.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Texas	Johnson County	Johnson County v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-87346
490.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah	Cache County, Utah; Rich County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	1st Dist. Ct. Cache Cnty. 190100112
491.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Davis County	Davis County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	2nd Dist. Ct. Davis Cnty. 180700870
492.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Grand County	Grand County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
493.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Iron County	Iron County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Jud. Dist. Ct. Iron Cnty. 180500149
494.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Millard County	Millard County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Jud. Dist. Ct. Millard Cnty. 180700044
495.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Salt Lake County	Salt Lake County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Jud. Dist. Ct. Salk Lake Cnty. 180902421
496.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	San Juan County	San Juan County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	7th Jud. Dist. Ct. Grand Cnty. 180700040
497.	The Purdue Frederick Company	Municipality	Utah	Sanpete County	Sanpete County v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dist. Ct. Sanpete Cnty. 180600095

19-02289-96111 Doc 14 Filed 04/20/20 Entered 04/19/20 02:07:50
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Seizure Page 210 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
498.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Sevier County; Juab County; Emery County; Wayne County; Piute County	Sevier County, Utah, Juab County, Utah, Emery County, Utah, Wayne County, Utah, and Piute County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	6th Jud. Dis. Ct. Sevier Cnty. 190600050
499.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Summit County, Utah	Summit County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Dist. Ct. Summit Cnty. 180500119

19-08289-90111 Doc 19-75 Filed 04/09/20 Entered 04/09/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Joint Injunction Pg 211 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
500.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Tooele County, Utah	Tooele County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	3rd Dist. Ct. Tooele Cnty. 180300423
501.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department	Uintah County, Utah; Duscesne County, Utah; Daggett County, Utah; Tri-County Health Department v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	8th Dist. Ct. Uintah Cnty. 180800056

19-02289-9-0011 Doc 19-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Attachment Pg 212 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
502.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Wasatch County, Utah	Wasatch County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	4th Dist. Ct. Wasatch Cnty. 180500079
503.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Stuart D. Baker; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	Municipality	Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah	Washington County, Utah; Kane County, Utah; Beaver County, Utah; Garfield County, Utah v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	5th Dist. Ct. Washington Cnty. 190500179

19-02289-9-0011 Doc 19-15 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Joint Injunction Pg 213 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
511.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of the Mortimer Sackler Family; Trust for the Benefit of the Raymond Sackler Family; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Carol DeBord; Jeff Waugh; Shane Cook	Municipality	West Virginia	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton; Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi	Mayor Peggy Knotts Barney, on behalf of the City of Grafton, and Mayor Philip Bowers, on behalf of the City of Philippi v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-151 19-C-152 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000
512.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes	Municipality	West Virginia	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; Upshur County Commission	Monongalia County Commission; Marion County Commission; Doddridge County Commission; Randolph County Commission; and Upshur County Commission v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 18-C-222H 18-C-233H 18-C-234H 18-C-235H 18-C-236H Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-02289-9-01-11
 Preliminary Injunction
 Docket 19-75-1
 Filed 04/02/20
 Entered 04/02/20 15:18:14
 Injunction
 Pg 216 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
513.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Mark Radcliffe; Mark Ross; Patty Carnes; Jeff Waugh; Carol Debord; Amanda Bias Hayes; Doug Powers	Municipality	West Virginia	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville	Roane County Commission; The City of Spencer; Jackson County Commission; The City of Ripley; The Town of Ravenswood; Wood County Commission; The City of Williamstown; Wirt County Commission; The Town of Elizabeth; Pleasants County Commission; City of St. Mary's; Ritchie County Commission; Town of Harrisville v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.,	Cir. Ct. Marshall Cnty. 19-C-96-108H
<i>Hospital (MDL)</i>						
514.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC	Danville Regional Medical Center, LLC v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45788 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
515.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.	Hospital	MDL	Triad Health Systems, Inc.	Triad Health Systems, Inc. and all other similarly situated Federally Qualified Healthcare Systems and Clinics v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45780 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

1:19-op-45788-1-10-2019
 Preliminary Injunction
 Filed 10/20/19
 Pg 217 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
516.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	MDL	West Boca Medical Center, Inc.	West Boca Medical Center, Inc. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45530 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
<i>Hospital, Individual, Third Party Payer, University (State Court)</i>						
517.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Joe Coggins; Lyndsie Fowler; Mitchell "Chip" Fisher; Rebecca Sterling; Vanessa Weatherspoon; Chris Hargrave; Brandon Hasenfuss; Joe Read; Rhodes Technologies Inc.	Hospital	Alabama	Mobile County Board of Health; Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic	Mobile County Board of Health and Family Orientation v. Family Oriented Primary Health Care Clinic Richard Sackler, et al.	Cir. Ct. Mobile Cnty. 02-CV-2019-902806
518.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Kathe Sackler;	Hospital	Arizona	Kingman Hospital, Inc.; Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital;	Kingman Hospital, Inc. v. Arizona Spine and Joint Hospital LLC; Bullhead City Hospital Corporation; Carondelet St. Joseph's Hospital; Holy Cross	Mohave Cnty. Sup. Ct. S8015cv201900563

19-02289-99
 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Primary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 218 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
	Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia			Holy Cross Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC; Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Surgical Specialty Company, LLC; St. Mary's Hospital of Tucson; VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc.; VHS Arrowhead, Inc.	Hospital, Inc.; Hospital Development of West Phoenix, Inc.; Northwest Hospital, LLC; Oro Valley Hospital, LLC; Oasis Hospital; Orthopedic and Medical Specialty Company, LLC; St. Mary's Hospital of Tucson; VHS Acquisition Subsidiary Number 1, Inc. and VHS Arrowhead, Inc.; Purdue Pharma L.P., et	
519.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Hospital	Arizona	Tucson Medical Center	Tucson Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super. Ct. Pima County C20184991
520.	Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart; Mark Timney; Craig Landau; Russell Gasdia; Barbara C. Miller; Briann Parson-Barnes; Becca Beck Harville; Lindsey Bonifacio; Tammy Heyward; James Speed, Damon Storhoff; Diana C. Muller; Draupadi Daley	Hospital	Florida	Florida Health Sciences Center, Inc.; North Broward Hospital District; Halifax Hospital Medical Center, Bayfront HMA Medical Center, LLC; CGH Hospital, Ltd.; Citrus HMA, LLC; Central Florida Health; Crestview Hospital Corporation; Delray Medical Center, Inc.; Flagler Hospital, Inc.; Good Samaritan Medical Center, Inc.; Haines City HMA, LLC; Hernando HMA,	Florida Health Sciences Center, Inc., et al. v. Richard Sackler, et a.	Cir. Ct. Broward Cnty. 19-018882

14-00000000-0000-0000-0000-00000000
 Preliminary Injunction
 Docket 19-018882
 Filed 08/02/20
 Entered 08/02/20 15:08:58
 Pg 219 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				LLC; Hialeah Hospital, Inc.; HMA Santa Rosa Medical Center, LLC; Key West HMA, LLC; Lake Shore HMA, LLC; Lake Wales Hospital Corporation; Larkin Community Hospital Palm Springs Campus, LLC; Larkin Community Hospital, Inc.; Larkin Community Hospital Behavioral Service, Inc.; Leesburg Regional Medical Center, Inc.; Lifemark Hospitals of Florida, Inc.; Live Oak HMA, LLC; Naples HMA, LLC; North Shore Medical Center, Inc.; Osceolasc LLC; Palm Beach Gardens Community Hospital, Inc.; Port Charlotte HMA, LLC; Punta Gorda HMA, LLC; St. Mary's Medical Center, Inc.; Starke HMA, LLC; The Villages Tri-County Medical Center, Inc.; and Venice HMA, LLC	19-02289-9-01-0001 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction	
521.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Beverly Sackler; David A. Sackler;	University	Louisiana	The Board of Supervisors for Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College	The Board of Supervisors for Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	19th Jud. Dist. Ct. East Baton Rouge Parish C-694318 24

19-02289-9-01-0001
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction
 Pg 220 of 275
 10/20/2021 10:08:58 AM
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Merit Health Natchez; North Sunflower Medical Center; River Oaks Hospital, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Oaks; Vicksburg Healthcare, LLC d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of Aberdeen, MS, LLC	d/b/a Merit Health River Region and Merit Health River Region West; Roh, LLC d/b/a Merit Health Women's Hospital; Tippah County Hospital; Alliance Healthcare System; Memorial Hospital at Gulfport; Delta Regional Medical Center; Progressive Medical Management of Batesville d/b/a Panola Medical Center; Boa Vida Hospital of Aberdeen, MS, LLC Nathan C. Grace, et al	

15-00289-9-0001-13000-71-75-FILED FOR 10/20/2015 08:58 AM IN EXHIBIT
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Injunction Pg 222 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
523.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Purdue Holdings L.P.; Rosebay Medical Company L.P.; The Beacon Company; PLP Associates Holdings L.P.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; David A. Sackler; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; Estate of Dr. Richard Sackler; Estate of Mortimer Sackler; Estate of Raymond Sackler	Individual	New Jersey	Hill, Fredrick	Fredrick Hill v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	Super Ct. NJ Camden Cnty. L-003693-19
524.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Individual	New Jersey	Perez, Francisco	Francisco Perez, individually, as administrator and administrator ad prosequendum for the estate of Tanny Robles-Perez, Fransheka Robles Gordon, Sarai Perez Robles, a minor, by Francisco Perez, his guardian ad litem v. Francisco Revordero, M.D., et al.	Super. Ct. NJ Passaic Cnty. PAS-L-003289-18

19-02289-96td D Doc 13 Filed 03/20/20 Entered 03/20/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Order of Appointment
 Pg 223 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
525.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	New York	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta; Aliotta, Joanne individually and as administratrix of the Estate of Justine Marie Aliotta, deceased; Torres Julissa Cecelia, an infant by her guardian Joanne Aliotta, on behalf of themselves and Plaintiff Class Consisting of all other persons in New York so situated	The Estate of Justine Maria Aliotta, et al. v. Purdue Pharma Inc., et al.	Sup. Ct. Richmond Cnty. 152057-2019
526.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Leysen, Patty Carol, Administrator of the Estate of Brian Keith Johnston	Patty Carol Leysen, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Davidson Cnty. 20-cvs-112
527.	Jonathan Sackler; Richard Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Stevens, Susan K., Administratrix of the Estate of Toria Capri Stevens	Susan Stevens, et al. v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Sup. Ct. Forsyth Cnty. 20-cvs-352
528.	Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Richard Sackler; Theresa Sackler	Estate	North Carolina	Williams, Sonji B., Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams	Sonji B. Williams, Administratrix of the Estate of Tyler Michael Wain Williams v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp., et al.	Super. Ct. Gaston Cnty. 19-cvs-5192

19-02289-96111 Doc 1-19 Filed 04/14/20 Entered 04/14/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Restraining Order Pg 224 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
529.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 33 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302269
530.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund	AFSCME District Council 47 Health & Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302255
531.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund	Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers Local Union No. 1 of PA/DE Health and Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302256
532.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Carpenters Health & Welfare Fund of Philadelphia & Vicinity	Carpenters Health & Welfare of Philadelphia & Vicinity v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia 180302264
533.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Estate	Pennsylvania	Davidson, Karen A., Individually, and Administratrix of the Estate of John C. Davidson, deceased	Karen Davidson, et al. v. Ignacio Badiola, M.D., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 200100381

Filed 11/03/20 Entered 11/03/20 17:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust
 Pg 225 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
534.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 728 Family Healthcare Plan v. Allergan, PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia No. 003872
535.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 98 Health & Welfare Fund and International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers Local 89 Sound and Communication Health & Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 002063
536.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades, District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund	International Union of Painters and Allied Trades District Council No. 21 Welfare Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 001983
537.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund	Iron Workers District Council of Philadelphia and Vicinity, Benefit Fund v. AbbVie Laboratories, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180502442
538.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund	Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 180403891
539.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority	Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. March Term 2018 No. 02923
540.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	Pennsylvania	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund	Steamfitters Local 449 Medical & Benefit Fund v. Allergan PLC, et al.	C.P. Philadelphia Cnty. 19091412

18-0392-Sub E Filed 04/10/20 Entered 04/10/20 15:08:58 in Exchange
 Case No. 18-0392-Sub E

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Providence East Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Memorial Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Sierra Campus; The Hospitals of Providence Transmountain Campus; VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center - Brownsville; VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center; Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene Regional Medical Center; College Station Hospital, LP; Granbury Hospital Corporation d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; Navarro Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Navarro Regional Hospital; Brownwood Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Brownwood Regional Medical Center; Victoria Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar Hospital Navarro and Detar Hospital North; Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; San Angelo Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center; Cedar Park Health System, L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHC Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hillsboro Regional Hospital; Longview Medical Center, L.P. Longview Regional Medical Center; and Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a	Campus; VHS Brownsville Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center - Brownsville; VHS Harlingen Hospital Company, LLC d/b/a Valley Baptist Medical Center; Armc, L.P. d/b/a Abilene Regional Medical Center; College Station Hospital, LP; Granbury Hospital Corporation d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; Navarro Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Navarro Regional Hospital; Brownwood Hospital, L.P. d/b/a Brownwood Regional Medical Center; Victoria Texas, L.P. d/b/a Detar Hospital Navarro and Detar Hospital North; Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Lake Granbury Medical Center; San Angelo Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center; Cedar Park Health System, L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHC Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hillsboro Regional Hospital; Longview Medical Center, L.P. Longview Regional Medical Center; and Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a	

Preliminary Injunction Hearing 10/11/2016 1:03:58 PM
 Case: 16-03-01723-7-0003-58
 Exhibit 1 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Laredo Texas Hospital Company, L.P. d/b/a Laredo Medical Center; San Angelo Hospital, L.P. d/b/a San Angelo Community Medical Center; Cedar Park Health System, L.P. d/b/a Cedar Park Regional Medical Center; NHCI of Hillsboro, Inc. d/b/a Hill Regional Hospital; Longview Medical Center, L.P. d/b/a Longview Regional Medical Center; Piney Woods Healthcare System, L.P. d/b/a Woodland Heights Medical Center	Woodland Heights Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	
545.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Richard D. Sackler	Third Party Payor	Texas	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio	Fire and Police Retirement Health Care Fund, San Antonio v. Richard D. Sackler, et al.	Harris Cnty. Dist. Ct. 2018-33724
546.	The Purdue Frederick Company; Richard Sackler; Beverly Sackler; David Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Jonathan Sackler; Kathe Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Theresa Sackler; John Stewart;	Hospital	West Virginia	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital	West Virginia University Hospitals Inc.; Appalachian Regional Healthcare, Inc.; Bluefield Hospital Company, LLC; Broaddus Hospital Association; Camden-Clark Memorial Hospital Corporation; Charleston Medical Center, Inc.; Charles Town General Hospital; City Hospital;	Civ. Action Nos. 19-C-69 through 19-C-88 and 19-C-134 through 19-C-139 Consolidated before MLP In re Opioid Litigation, Cir. Ct. Kanawha County 19-C-9000

19-02289-96111 Docket 7575 Filed 04/22/20 Entered 04/22/20 14:05:02 Page 229 of 275
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Summary Judgment

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
				Inc.; Webster County Memorial Hospital, Inc.; Wetzel County Hospital Association; Williamson Memorial Hospital, LLC.; Braxton County Memorial Hospital, Inc.		
<i>Estate, Individual, Third Party Payor (MDL)</i>						
547.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.	NAS	MDL	A.M.H.	A.M.H., individually and next friend or guardian of minor Baby C.E., and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45052 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-99
 Filed 04/02/20
 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or
 Injunction Pg 231 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
548.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Alexander, Melba	Melba Alexander, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.H.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45502 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
549.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ambrosio, Melissa	Melissa Ambrosio, individually and as next friend of Baby G.A., on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45375 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 DocId:32175111
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/Binding/Binding Pg 232 of 275
 11/14/2020 10:20:50 AM
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
550.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Artz, Jennifer	Jennifer Artz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.A.A., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45459 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
551.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Atkinson, Sandra	Sandra Atkinson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.C. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45531 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Attachment Pg 233 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
554.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Brumbarger, Brandi	Brandi Brumbarger, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45469 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
555.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Carlson, Desiree	Desiree Carlson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45487 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 13-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction Pg 235 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
556.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Chancey, Musette	Musette Chancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies D.C.1. and D.C.2. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45533 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
557.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cherry, Angela	Angela Cherry, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby Z.C.1 on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45490 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
558.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Third Party Payor	MDL	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Local Union No. 120 Insurance Fund	Cleveland Bakers and Teamsters Health and Welfare Fund and Pipe Fitters Union No. 120 Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.,	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45432 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-0001 D004 19-0754-1-101014-0020/2018-04-04 02:01:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Joinder
 Exhibit 275 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
559.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Collier, Jessica	Jessica Collier, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45506 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
560.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Cruz, Gloria	Gloria Cruz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.E.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45466 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-0289-0011 DDD 19 Filed 11/14/19 Entered 11/14/19 16:07:44 Precedence Not Set
 Preliminary Injunction and Bond Pg 237 of 275
 11/14/19 16:07:44 Precedence Not Set

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
561.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Delancey, Christina	Christina Delancey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.J.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45480 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
562.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	DeMaro, Samantha	Samantha DeMaro, individually and as next friend and guardian of J.W.L.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45465 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Doc 19-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction Pending/Not for Injunction Pg 238 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
563.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Dixon, Deborah	Deborah Dixon, as next friend and guardian of baby S.E.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45511 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
564.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Doe, John	John Doe, by and through Jane Doe, his parent and natural guardian, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46318 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
565.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Doyle, Erin	Erin Doyle, individual, as Mother and Custodian, Baby D.F., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. A LLC, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46327 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-96111 Document 157-1 Filed 05/10/20 Page 239 of 275
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Guardian
 Pg 239 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
566.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler, Jonathan D. Sackler, Mortimer D.A. Sackler, Kathe A. Sackler, Ilene Sackler Lefcourt, Beverly Sackler, Theresa Sackler, David A. Sackler, Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund	Drywall Tapers Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45810 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
567.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ellis, Esperenza	Esperenza Ellis, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby S.O.; In behalf of themselves and others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45464 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-9-0-1-1
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Receiver
 Filed 10/20/20 11:50 AM
 Pg 240 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
568.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flach, Brittany	Brittany Flach, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.B. and G.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45488 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
569.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Flanagan, Darren and Elena	Darren and Elena Flanagan individually and as additional parents and next friends of Baby K.L.F., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45405 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

190922889996010
 Preliminary Injunction
 Docket # 17-519-JED-PMD-40920/2018-06/14
 Pg 241 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
570.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Krista; Sawyers, Angela; Springborn, Jessica	Krista Gauthier, Angela Sawyers, and Jessica Springborn, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies D.L.D., M.A.S., and N.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45478 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
571.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gauthier, Mechelle	Mechelle Gauthier, individually and as next friend and guardian of B.L. v. Purdue Pharma et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45514 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-08289-96111 D004 19 7511 EDITION 4/20/2019 4:52:07 PM
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Guardian
 Pg 242 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
572.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gibson, Amanda	Amanda Gibson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45515 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
573.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Gilson, Jamiee	Jamiee Gilson, as next friend and guardian of Baby B.A. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45461 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-99-0001 Docket 19-02289-99-0001
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Guardian
 Filed 03/20/20 Entered 04/03/20 15:08:58
 Pg 243 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
574.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goforth, Rebecca	Rebecca Goforth, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies A.S. and N.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45532 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
575.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goldman, Jenni	Jenni Goldman, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies J.K.V. and M.J.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45516 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment Pg 244 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
576.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Goss, Heather	Heather Goss, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies C.B. and V.B. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45518 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
577.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Wrongful Death	Mississippi	Greer, Julia	Julia Greer, individually and as next friend and on behalf of all wrongful death beneficiaries of Rose Greer, deceased v. Charles E. Greer, M.D., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-46117 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
578.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hamawi, Marijha; Lara, Meghan	Marijha Hamawi, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K.L.H. and N.A.W.; and Meghan Lara, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K.L.H. and N.A.W., on behalf of themselves and all persons similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45477 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-96111 Doc 19 Filed 10/20/20 Page 245 of 275
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Summary Judgment Pg 245 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
579.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hampel, Jessica	Jessica Hampel, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.M.H., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45473 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
580.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Herring, Courtney	Courtney Herring, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45519 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-0289-96111 Document 19-751 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Attachment Pg 246 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
581.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Howell, Reannan	Reannan Howell, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby N.J.D. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45520 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
582.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hunt, Shannon	Shannon Hunt, Individually and as Next Friend and Guardian of Minor S. J. Hunt on Behalf of All Other Similarly Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45681 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment
 Filed 02/20/20 Entered 02/20/20 15:08:58
 Pg 247 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
583.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Hutchins, Kiana	Kiana Hutchins, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby T.E. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45505 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
584.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ivie, Billie	Billie Ivie, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.I., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45489 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-0289-99-0011 DDD DD 11:51:11 AM 04/20/2018 04/20/2018 11:50:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction Pg 248 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
585.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Aracya	Aracya Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby R.H. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45521 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
586.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Johnson, Jamie	Jamie Johnson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies K. and J.D. v. Purdue Pharma, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45504 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-0289-967-14-0001-11
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Appointment of Guardian
 Filed 05/22/20 Entered 05/22/20 1:50:58 PM
 Pg 249 of 275
 Exhibit

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
587.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kirk, Krystle	Krystle Kirk, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby B.K. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45509 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
588.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Kommer, Elizabeth	Elizabeth Kommer, individually and as next friend and guardian of C.K. v. Purdue Pharm, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45522 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Doc 19-751 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Appointment Pg 250 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
589.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund	Laborers Local 1298 of Nassau & Suffolk Counties Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45813 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
590.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc., Rhodes Technologies Inc., Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc., The P.F. Laboratories Inc., Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund	Local 8A-28A Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45809 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-000000
Preliminary

19-02289-000000
Injunction and
Pg 251 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
591.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lechuga, Niola	Niola Lechuga, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies Q.H.L. and A.G.L., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45468 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
592.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lively, Carol	Carol Lively, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby L.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45523 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-99-0011 D.D. 11/15/2014
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Guardian
 Pg 252 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
593.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Lyle, Alyssa	Alyssa Lyle, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45524 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
594.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martin, Kimberly	Kimberly Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45510 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-9611 Docket 19-7511-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
Preliminary Injunction and Restraining Order Pg 253 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
595.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martin, Penny	Penny Martin, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45508 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
596.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Martinez, Jacquelynn	Jacquelynn Martinez, individually and as ne friend and guardian of J.M., on behalf of the and all others similarl situated v. Purdue Pham L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45484 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
597.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Massey, Melanie	Melanie Massey, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.L.M. and K.D.R. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45525 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
598.	Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	Massachusetts	Mayflower Municipal Health Group	Mayflower Municipal Health Group v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45897 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-96011 Document 1 Filed 04/20/20 Entered 04/20/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and Antitrust Injunction Pg 255 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
599.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	McAnany, Samantha	Samantha McAnany, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.L.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45526 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
600.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Means, Corey	Corey Means, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.D. on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45470 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-0001 Docket 19-751-1010-1020/2019-08-14
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/Status Conference Pg 256 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
601.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Meinecke, Kjellsi	Kjellsi Meinecke, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby J.B., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45493 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
602.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Moore, Bobbie Lou	Bobbie Lou Moore, individually and as next friend and guardian of minor R.R.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46305 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 D004 19 75 51 Filed 11/14/20 Entered 11/14/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/Modification/Status Conference Pg 257 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
603.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Muffley, Amanda	Amanda Muffley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45507 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
604.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Ortiz, Maria	Maria Ortiz, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.O., on behalf of themselves and all other persons in a similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45492 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-9611 Document 15-1 Filed 11/14/20 Entered 11/14/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit 1
Preliminary Injunction and/or Attachment Pg 258 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
605.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family; Stuart D. Baker	Third Party Payor	MDL	Painting Industry Insurance Fund	Painting Industry Insurance Fund v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al. Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of Guardian of Baby F.P.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45793 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
606.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Pharmaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Patterson, Gena	Gena Patterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby F.P. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45534 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
609.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Peterson, Sally	Sally Peterson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45472 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
610.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Puckett, Heather	Heather Puckett, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45539 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Joinder Pg 261 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
611.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rees, Deric; Rees, Ceonda	Deric Rees and Ceonda Rees, individually and as next friend and guardian of baby T.W.B. on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45252 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
612.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Richardson, Waikeisha	Waikeisha Richardson, individually and as next friend and guardian of the E.M.1. and E.M.2. v. the Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45538 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
613.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Riling, Andrew G.; Riling, Beverly	Andrew G. Riling and Beverly Riling, as next friends of A.P. Riling, minor under the age of Purdue Pharma L.P.,	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45056 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804

19-02289-96111 Doc 19-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Joinder Page 262 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
614.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Roach, Tyler M.	Tyler M. Roach, natural Tutor on behalf of his minor child, Baby K.E.R., and as class representative for all Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome afflicted babies born in Louisiana v. McKesson Corp., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45662 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
615.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Rodriguez, Jessica	Jessica Rodriguez, individually and as next friend and guardian of the person of M.A.P., on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45463 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-0001 D004 19 751 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 04/09/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/Notice of Injunction Pg 263 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
616.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Salmons, Walter; Salmons, Virginia	Walter and Virginia Salmons, individually and as the next friend or guardian of Minor W.D. and on behalf of all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45268 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
617.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Scully, Jenny	Jenny Scully, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby I.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45544 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
618.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	Shaffer, Jodi	Jodi Shaffer, individually and as next friend and guardian of minor R.C., on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46302 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-99-0011 Doc 11 Filed 04/22/20 Entered 04/22/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Appointment of Guardian of Property
 Page 264 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
619.	<p>The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family</p>	NAS	MDL	Shepard, Amy	<p>Amy Shepard, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby E.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.</p>	<p>N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45536 Master Case No. 17-md-2804</p>
620.	<p>The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family</p>	NAS	MDL	Shewmake, Shilo	<p>Shilo Shewmake, individually and as next friends and guardians of Babies L.A.S., and J.S., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.</p>	<p>N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45482 Master Case No. 17-md-2804</p>

19-082899-000001 D004 15 11:51:08 AM
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/Announcement
 Pg 265 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
621.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Shockley, Kayla	Kayla Shockley, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.G.L. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45527 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
622.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Simonson, Alicia	Alicia Simonson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.S. on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45479 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02899-0001 Docket 19-02899-0001
 Preliminary Injunction Hearing/2019/02/20/2019-02-20 1:50:38 PM
 Pg 266 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
623.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Stewart, Wendy	Wendy Stewart, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby K.J.C., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45481 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
624.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Jessica	Jessica Taylor, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.S., et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45528 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-99-0011 D.D. 11-11-19
 Preliminary Injunction and Appointment
 Pg 267 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
625.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Taylor, Lori	Lori Taylor, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.T. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45529 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
626.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Thomas, Jennifer	Jennifer Thomas, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.S. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45542 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 1-1 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Joinder Pg 268 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
629.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Underwood, Taylor Brooke	Taylor Brooke Underwood, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby C.U. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45537 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
630.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	VonCannon, Caroline	Caroline VonCannon, individually and as next friend and guardian of C.W. and S.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45540 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
631.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	NAS	MDL	W.E., by and through her guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne	W.E., by and through guardian and next friend, Pamela Osborne, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-46347 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-08289-96111 Doc 19-75 Filed 10/14/20 Entered 10/14/20 15:08:56
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Appointment of Guardian
 Exhibit 1 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
632.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Warren, Desirae	Desirae Warren, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45486 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
633.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Watson, Paula	Paula Watson, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby D.M. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45545 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-96111 Document 15-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58
 Preliminary Injunction and Motion for Joinder Pg 271 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
636.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittaker, Shelley	Shelley Whittaker, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies E.W., G.L.O., and N.S.G., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45475 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
637.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Whittington, Katherine	Katherine Whittington, individually and as next friend and guardian of Babies S.W. and A.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45541 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02899-00001 Document 1-1 Filed 01/20/20 Page 273 of 275
 Preliminary Injunction and Joinder

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
638.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Williams, Farrah	Farrah Williams, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby A.W., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45485 Master Case No. 17-md-2804
639.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wood, Rachel	Rachel Wood, Individually and as Next Friend and Guardian of Minor O. Wood on Behalf of All Other Similarly Situated v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:18-op-45264 Master Case No. 17-md-2804

19-02289-9-0111 D Doc 15-75 Filed 04/02/20 Entered 04/02/20 15:08:58 in Exhibit
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Restraining Order Pg 274 of 275

	Related Parties	Action Type	State	Underlying Plaintiff(s) (Last, First)	Case Caption	Court/Case Number
640.	The Purdue Frederick Company, Inc.; Rhodes Technologies Inc.; Rhodes Phamaceuticals Inc.; The P.F. Laboratories, Inc.; Richard S. Sackler; Jonathan D. Sackler; Mortimer D.A. Sackler; Kathe A. Sackler; Ilene Sackler Lefcourt; Beverly Sackler; Theresa Sackler; David A. Sackler; Trust for the Benefit of Members of the Raymond Sackler Family	NAS	MDL	Wright, Naomi	Naomi Wright, individually and as next friend and guardian of Baby M.W. v. Purdue Pharma L.P., et al.	N.D. Ohio 1:19-op-45543 Master Case No. 17-md- 2804
<u>MDL Appeals</u>						
641.	The Purdue Frederick Company Inc.	Class Action	MDL	Hanlon, Amanda; Gardner, Amy	Amanda Hanlon, et al Purdue Pharma L.P., et al	6th Cir. 19-3398

19-08289-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100
 Preliminary Injunction and/or Antitrust Injunction Pg 275 of 275